TOWARD A GENERAL THEORY OF ELASTIC EQUILIBRIUM EQUATIONS FOR AN ISOTROPIC BODY

Orazio Tedone 🔧

Translation of "Saggio di una teoria generale delle equazioni dell'equilibrio elastico per un corpo isotropo."

Annali di Matematica, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 13-64, 1904.

(ACCESSION NUMBER)
(PAGES)
(PAGES)
(CODE)
(CODE)
(CATEGORY)

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20546 APRIL 1967

## TOWARD A GENERAL THEORY OF ELASTIC EQUILIBRIUM EQUATIONS FOR AN ISOTROPIC BODY

Orazio Tedone Genoa

ABSTRACT. The elastic equilibrium problem for bodies limited by planes and spheres is treated using the theory of Green's functions and harmonic functions for the case of given stresses and displacements on the boundary, as well as for the mixed problem.

REPORT II

/13\*

/14

(Bodies Limited by Two Parallel Planes or Two Concentric Spheres)

## I. Problems in Which the Elastic Body is Limited by Two Parallel Planes\*\*

1. Green Functions and Harmonic Functions. Let us assume that the two planes limiting the elastic body are the two planes z=0 and z=h, which we will indicate by  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , respectively, while we will continue to denote the combination of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  by  $\sigma$ . The portion of space limited by them also retains its notation, S.

If A  $\equiv$  (x, y, z) is a point in S -- i.e., such that 0 < z < h -- let us consider the infinite series of points:

$$A_{1} \equiv (x, y, -z), A_{2} \equiv (x, y, 2h - z), A_{3} \equiv (x, y, -2h - z), \dots,$$

$$A_{2n} \equiv (x, y, 2nh + z), A_{2n+1} \equiv (x, y, -2nh - z), \dots$$

$$A'_{1} \equiv (x, y, 2h - z), A'_{2} \equiv (x, y, -2h + z), A'_{3} \equiv (x, y, 4h - z), \dots,$$

$$A'_{2n-1} \equiv (x, y, 2nh - z), A'_{2n} \equiv (x, y, -2nh + z), \dots$$

which are the two series of successive images of point A with respect to the two planes  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , the images being all located outside of S, except  $A_1$ , which may fall with A on plane  $\sigma_1$ , and  $A_1$ , which may fall with A on plane  $\sigma_2$ . Let us call

$$r_1, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_{2n}, r_{2n+1}, \ldots$$

<sup>\*</sup> Numbers in the margin indicate pagination in the original foreign text.

\*\* In this second report on elastic equilibrium equations for an isotropic body, just as in those which may still follow it, we will continue to apply the prinicples established in the first report. Every time it becomes necessary to refer to the results established in that report, we will add the indicator (I) to the citation.

$$r'_1, r'_2, r'_3, \ldots, r'_{2n-1}, r'_{2n}, \ldots$$

the relative distances of each of these points from the same point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  in S, and let us continue to call r the distance from this point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  to A. When the point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  falls on  $\sigma_1$ , we will have

$$r = r_1, r_2 = r_3, \ldots, r_{2n} = r_{2n+1}, \ldots,$$
  
 $r'_1 = r'_2, r'_3 = r'_4, \ldots, r'_{2n-1} = r'_{2n}, \ldots,$ 

wherever A may be in S, while when point ( $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$ ) falls on  $\sigma_2$  we will have

$$r_1 = r_2, r_2 = r_1, \ldots, r_{2n-1} = r_{2n}, \ldots,$$
 $r = r'_1, r'_2 = r'_3, \ldots, r'_{2n} = r'_{2n+1}, \ldots$ 

Thus when point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  is fixed somewhere in S, we have

$$r = r_1, r_2 = r'_1, r_3 = r'_2, \ldots, r_n = r'_{n-1}, \ldots,$$

when A is chosen on  $\sigma_1$ , while when A is chosen on  $\sigma_2$  we have

$$r = r'_1, r'_2 = r_1, r'_3 = r_2, \ldots, r'_n = r_{n-1}, \ldots$$

In the space region  $\zeta \geq 0$  the function  $\frac{1}{r2n} - \frac{1}{r2n+1}$ , assumed to be dependent on  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$ , is harmonic if it becomes zero at infinity on plane  $\sigma_1$ , while it becomes infinitely large and positive when point  $(\xi, \, \eta, \, \zeta)$  falls in  $A_{2n}$ , which belongs to this portion of space. From this we obtain in the region under consideration,  $\zeta \geq 0$ , and hence also in S

$$\frac{1}{r_{2n}}-\frac{1}{r_{2n+1}}\geq 0,$$

for which the series

$$g = \left(\frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{r_4} - \frac{1}{r_5}\right) + \dots = \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{1}{r_{2n+1}}\right), \tag{1}$$

/15

assumed to be dependent on  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$ , is a series of harmonic functions, regular in S and all positive, except on plane  $\sigma_1$  and at infinity where they become

zero. On  $\sigma_1$ , series g is identically zero, while on  $\sigma_2$  it is reduced to  $\frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{1}{r^1}$ . Thus, based on the theorems of Volterra and of Harnack, g is a series converging absolutely and to an equal degree in S and representing a harmonic

converging absolutely and to an equal degree in S and representing a harmonic and regular function in this region and also in a wider region. In the same field, g is differentiable term by term any number of times, and the derivative series are also harmonic and regular functions.

The series

$$g' = \left(\frac{1}{r'_2} - \frac{1}{r'_2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{r'_4} - \frac{1}{r'_5}\right) + \dots = \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{1}{r'_{2n+1}}\right)$$
(2)

have similar properties. This becomes zero identically on  $\sigma_2$ , while it becomes equal to  $\frac{1}{r!1}$  on  $\sigma_1$ .

Green's function G relative to space S and to point A inside S is therefore

$$G = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_{1}} - \frac{1}{r'_{1}} + g + g' = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_{1}} - \frac{1}{r'_{1}} + \cdots + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{1}{r_{2n+1}} \right) + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{1}{r'_{2n+1}} \right).$$
(3)

For the normal derivative of G, we will have on  $\sigma_1$ 

$$\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\xi=0} = 2\left[\frac{z}{r^3} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2nh+z}{r_{in}^3} - \frac{2nh-z}{r_{in-1}^3}\right)\right]_{\xi=0} =$$

$$= -2\left[\frac{\partial \frac{1}{r}}{\partial z} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{in}}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{in-1}}}{\partial z}\right)\right]_{\xi=0}, \tag{4}$$

and on  $\sigma_2$ 

$$-\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\zeta=h} = 2\left[\frac{h-z}{r^3} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2n+1)h-z}{r_{2n}^3} - \frac{(2n-1)h+z}{r_{2n-1}^3}\right)\right]_{\zeta=h} = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial z}\right)\right)_{\zeta=h}.$$

$$(4')$$

Therefore, if  $\Phi$  is a harmonic function regular in S and becomes zero in points at infinity in S with a higher order than  $\frac{1}{r}$ , and point A is inside S, the value of function  $\Phi$  at point A may be represented by formula

<u>/16</u>

$$2 = \Phi = \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \left[ \frac{z}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2nh+z}{r_{2n}^{3}} - \frac{2nh-z}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right) \right] d\sigma +$$

$$+ \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \left[ \frac{h-z}{r^{2}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(2n+1)h-z}{r_{2n}^{3}} - \frac{(2n-1)h+z}{r_{2n-1}^{3}} \right) \right] d\sigma =$$

$$= -\int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r_{2n}^{2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right) \right] d\sigma +$$

$$+ \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r_{2n}^{2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right) \right] d\sigma.$$

$$(5)$$

Noting that  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  since there are two series of harmonic and regular functions in S

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi\left(\frac{2nh+z}{r_{2n}^{3}} - \frac{2nh-z}{r_{2n-1}^{3}}\right) d\sigma,$$

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi\left(\frac{(2n+1)h-z}{r_{2n}^{3}} - \frac{(2n-1)h+z}{r_{2n-1}^{3}}\right) d\sigma,$$

converging to an equal degree on  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , and therefore in S -- term by term integration is permissible in expression (5), we may also write

$$2 \pi \Phi = \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{z}{r^{3}} d\sigma + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \left( \frac{2nh+z}{r_{2}^{3}n} - \frac{2nh-z}{r_{2}^{2}n-1} \right) d\sigma +$$

$$+ \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{h-z}{r^{3}} d\sigma + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \left( \frac{(2n+1)h-z}{r_{2}^{2}n} - \frac{(2n-1)h+z}{r_{2}^{2}n-1} \right) d\sigma =$$

$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi d\sigma - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi d\sigma + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi d\sigma + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi d\sigma + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{2$$

It is conversely also easy to demonstrate that whatever are the values assigned to  $\Phi$  on  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , provided that they form finite and continuous functions of the points in these two planes and are such that  $\rho$   $\Phi$ ,  $\rho = \sqrt{(x-\xi)^2 + \frac{17}{17}} + \frac{17}{(y-\eta)^2}$ , becomes zero in points at infinity in  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , expression (5) or (5') defines a function of point A which is harmonic and regular inside S and tends toward the assigned values in the points in  $\sigma$ . And in fact if  $\Phi$  satisfies the preceding assumptions, each of the terms in expression (5') is finite, wherever A may be in S, and represents a harmonious and regular function inside

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \int_{a_{i}} \Phi\left(\frac{2nh+z}{r_{1n}^{3}} - \frac{2nh-z}{r_{2n-1}^{3}}\right) d\sigma,$$

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \int_{a} \Phi\left(\frac{(2n+1)h-z}{r_{2n}^{3}} - \frac{(2n-1)h+z}{r_{2n-1}^{3}}\right) d\sigma$$

converge in equal degree on  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ ; hence they and function  $\Phi$  defined by expression (5') are harmonic and regular functions inside S. If it is observed that

$$\lim_{z=0} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi\left(\frac{2nh+z}{r_{1}^{2n}} - \frac{2nh-z}{r_{1}^{2n-1}}\right) d\sigma = 0$$

$$\lim_{z=0} \left\{ \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi\frac{h-z}{r^{3}} d\sigma + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi\left(\frac{(2n+1)h-z}{r_{1}^{2n}} - \frac{(2n-1)h+z}{r_{2}^{2n-1}}\right) d\sigma \right\} = 0,$$

we have

The two series

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \Phi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{z \to 0} \int_{\sigma_0} \Phi \frac{z}{r^3} dz$$

and therefore  $\Phi$  in the points in  $\sigma_1$  tends toward the assigned values. The same may be said for the points in  $\sigma_2$ . In short, the two series

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{2nh+z}{r_{z}^{3}n} - \frac{2nh-z}{r_{z}^{2}n-1} \right)_{\zeta=0},$$

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(2n+1)h-z}{r_{2n}^2} - \frac{(2n-1)h+z}{r_{2n-1}^3} \right)_{\zeta=h},$$

considered as dependent on x, y, z are convergent to an equal degree on  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , and therefore also inasmuch as their terms from a certain moment onward (when A, always included in S, is sufficiently distant) are positive and less than the terms of the respective series

$$2z\sum_{1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_{1n}^{2}}, \qquad 2(h-z)\sum_{1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_{2n}^{2}}$$

and since these converge and become zero in points at infinity in  $\sigma_1$  and in  $\sigma_2$ , at least like  $\frac{1}{\rho}$ , the integrals which appear in expression (5) are also finite and represent harmonic and regular functions in S. Since term by term integration is permitted in expression (5),  $\Phi$  may also be represented by expression (5).

The analytical expressions obtained for  $\Phi$  could also have been derived by applying Schwarz's alternate procedure. The terms containing integrals extended over  $\sigma_1$  are in fact the successive reflections on planes  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  of the harmonic and regular function in region  $\zeta \geq 0$ , which in  $\sigma_1$  assumes the values assigned to  $\Phi$  in this plane. And the same may be said of the terms which contain integrals extended over  $\sigma_2$ .

2. As a consequence of the absolute and uniform convergence of series g in S the absolute and uniform convergence of series

$$\overline{g} = \left(\frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_3}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{r_4} - \frac{1}{r_5}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{r_6} - \frac{1}{r_7}\right) - \dots = \left(\frac{1}{r_5}\right) - \dots = \left(\frac{1}{r$$

is likewise deduced.  $\bar{g}$ , considered in S as dependent on  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$ , possesses properties similar to those of g. It becomes zero identically on  $\sigma_1$ , while on  $\sigma_2$  it is reduced to

$$\frac{1}{r_2} - \left(\frac{2}{r_3} - \frac{2}{r_5}\right) - \left(\frac{2}{r_7} - \frac{2}{r_9}\right) - \dots = \frac{1}{r_2} - 2\sum_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r_{4n+3}} - \frac{1}{r_{4n+5}}\right).$$

Instead, the normal derivative of  $\bar{g}$  on  $\sigma_1$  becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{g}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\zeta=0} = -2\sum_{1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{2nh+z}{r_{sn}^{2}},$$

while on  $\sigma_2$  it is reduced to  $-\left(\frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_1}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{\zeta=h}$ . Similarly, from the convergence of series

$$g' = \frac{1}{r'_{1}} + \left(\frac{1}{r'_{4}} - \frac{1}{r'_{2}}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{r'_{6}} - \frac{1}{r'_{5}}\right) + \cdots = \frac{1}{r'_{2}} + \sum_{2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{1}{r'_{2n-4}}\right)$$

whose convergence is absolute and of equal degree in S, the absolute and equaldegree convergence of series

$$\bar{g}' = \frac{1}{r'_{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{1}{r'_{2n-1}} \right)$$
 (7)

is derived. This series possesses properties like those of g' in S -- on  $\sigma_1$  it reduces to  $\frac{1}{r!2}$ , while on  $\sigma_2$  it reduces to

$$\left(\frac{2}{r'_{2}} - \frac{2}{r'_{4}}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{r'_{6}} - \frac{2}{r'_{6}}\right) + \cdots = 2\sum_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r'_{6n+2}} - \frac{1}{r'_{4(n+1)}}\right).$$

Instead, for the normal derivative  $\bar{g}$  ' we find that on  $\sigma_1$  it reduces to

$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{g'}}{\partial \zeta}\right)_{z=0} = \frac{-2h+z}{r'^{\frac{3}{2}}} - 2\sum_{2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} - \frac{2nh+z}{r'^{\frac{3}{2}n-1}},$$

while on  $\sigma_2$  it is identically zero. Hence, function

$$\overline{G} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r'_1} - \overline{g} - \overline{g}'$$
 (8)

becomes zero identically on  $\sigma_1$ , while on  $\sigma_2$  it is the normal derivative which becomes zero identically. G is therefore the Green function which solves the problem of determining the function which is harmonic and regular in S and such that it assumes given values on  $\sigma_1$ , while its normal derivative assumes given values on  $\sigma_2$ . Its analytic expression will be given by

$$2\pi\overline{\Phi} = \int_{a_{1}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \overline{\Phi} \left[ \frac{z}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n} \frac{2nh + z}{r_{2n}^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n} \frac{-2nh + z}{r_{2n-1}^{3}} \right] d\sigma +$$

$$+ \int_{a_{2}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \overline{\Phi} \left[ \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_{1}} + \sum_{0}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{r_{4n+3}} - \frac{1}{r_{4n+5}} \right) - \sum_{0}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{r_{4n+z}} - \frac{1}{r_{4(n+1)}} \right) \right] d\sigma =$$

$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{a_{1}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \overline{\Phi} \frac{d\sigma}{r} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} n (-1)^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{a_{1}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \overline{\Phi} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} n (-1)^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{a_{1}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \overline{\Phi} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} +$$

$$+ \int_{a_{1}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r} - \int_{a_{2}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{4n+z}} + \sum_{0}^{\infty} n \left( \int_{a_{1}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{r_{4n+z}} - \int_{a_{2}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{4n+z}} - \int_{a_{2}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{r_{4n+z}} - \int_{a_{2}}^{\overline{\Phi}} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\overline{\Phi}}{r_{4n$$

3. To determine the analytic expression of the harmonic function whose normal derivative assumes given values on  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , let us start from formula (5), which we will be able to write as

<u>/</u>20

$$2 \pi \Phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d \sigma}{r} - \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \left( \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{r_{2n}} \right) d \sigma - \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \left( \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{r_{2n-1}} \right) d \sigma + \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d \sigma}{r} + \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \left( \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{r_{2n}} \right) d \sigma + \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \left( \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{r_{2n-1}} \right) d \sigma.$$

In the series which appear under the integrals, it is not permissible to reverse the summation sign and the derivative sign, because the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r^2n},\ldots \text{ are divergent. Let us note, however, that for the Mittag-Leffler 1}$  theorem extended to the harmonic functions of Professor Appell\*, the series

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right), \quad \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n-1}} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right),$$

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right), \quad \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{r_{2n-1}} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right)$$

are absolutely convergent and in equal degree, except in the points in which some r become zero, for which -- noting that in space S none of the r's which appear in this series become zero -- we may write

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} n \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{r_{2n}} = \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2nh} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2nh} \right),$$

and therefore

$$2 \pi \Phi = \frac{\hat{c}}{\partial z} \left\{ -\int_{\sigma_{i}} \Phi \frac{d \sigma}{r} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{i}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{r^{2}n} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right) d \sigma - \right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{i}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{r^{2}n-i} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right) d \sigma + \int_{\sigma_{j}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{r^{2}n-i} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right) d \sigma + \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{i}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{r^{2}n-i} - \frac{1}{2 n h} \right) d \sigma \right\}.$$

$$(5''')$$

From this formula it may be  $de_{d}u_{\text{C}}ed$  that the function which is harmonic and regular in S

$$-\int_{\sigma_1} \Phi^* \frac{d\sigma}{r} - \sum_{1=0}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_1} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2nh}\right) d\sigma - \sum_{1=0}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_1} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{r'_{2n-1}} - \frac{1}{2nh}\right) d\sigma - \left.\right\}$$
(10)

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;On the Functions of Three Real Variables...," Acta Matematica, Vol. 4, p. 326.

$$-\int_{\sigma_{i}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{i}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2nh} \right) d\sigma - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_{i}} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{r_{2,i-1}} - \frac{1}{2nh} \right) d\sigma \right)$$

$$(10)$$

is such that its normal derivative acquires the given values of 2  $\pi$   $\Phi$  in  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2.$ 

Expression (10) also shows that a function harmonic and regular in S may always be put in the form of a derivative with respect to z of a function also harmonic and regular in S.

4. Let us now add the following considerations, which we will use constantly in the following. Let us recall that any function which is harmonic and regular in the region z > 0 is representable by means of a series of the form

$$\sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left[ a_{m}(\gamma) \cos m \omega + b_{m}(\gamma) \sin^{*} m \omega \right] J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma (*)$$
 (11)

which is absolutely and uniformly convergent in that region, where  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ , z is a system of cylindrical coordinates;  $J_m$ , the Bessel function of the first kind and of order m;  $a_m(\gamma)$ ,  $b_m(\gamma)$ , two functions of  $\gamma$ ; and m, a positive whole number which can vary from zero to  $+\infty$ . Conversely, any series like expression (11) represents a harmonic and regular function in the region z>0 which becomes zero for  $z=+\infty$ . We have assumed that the region is the one determined by inequality z>0, but it is clear that the same holds true in any region limited by a plane.

More generally, any function which is harmonic and regular in region S, i.e., 0 < z < h, is representable by means of an expression of form

$$\sum_{\omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left[ a_{m} (\gamma) \cos m \omega + b_{m} (\gamma) \sin m \omega \right] J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \sum_{\omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left[ \overline{a}_{m} (\gamma) \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} (\gamma) \sin m \omega \right] J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma$$

$$(12)$$

/22

the two series being absolutely and uniformly convergent in this field, and vice versa, as above.

This last result may be easily deduced from expression (5) by developing the individual terms in accord with formula (11) and summing with respect to n.

Finally, let us observe that, if an expression like expression (12) is

\* Heine, Handb. der Kugelf. (Manual of Spherical Functions), Vol. 2, p. 189.

\*\* Sen is correctly sin in English terminology.

identically zero in S, the functions  $a_m(\gamma)$ ,  $b_m(\gamma)$ ;  $\bar{a}_m(\gamma)$ ,  $\bar{b}_m(\gamma)$  must be zero.

5. Case in which u, v, w are given on the two limiting planes. Let us assume that the values of u, v, w given on  $\sigma$  are such by nature that the results of the preceding sections and formulas (5) or (5') and similar formulas from Report I may be applied. If we then designate by U, V, W the functions harmonic and regular in S which on  $\sigma$  acquire, respectively, the given values u, v, w, for the formulas just cited we will have

$$u = U + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4 \pi \mu} \left\{ z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\sigma}}{r} d\sigma + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (2nh + z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma - \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2nh - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r} d\sigma + \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2n + 1) h - z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma - \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2n + 1) h + z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma - \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2n + 1) h + z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma - \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2nh + z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma - \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2nh - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma + \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2n + 1) h - z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma - \frac{1}{2n} \left[ (2n - 1) h, + z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma \right\},$$

$$w = W - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \mu} z \theta + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4 \pi \mu} h \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r} d\sigma + \frac{1}{2n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{i}}^{0} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{r^{2}n} d\sigma \right].$$

$$(13)$$

And, if we set

 $\varphi_{0} = \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\theta} \frac{\theta}{r} d\sigma, \quad \varphi_{n} = \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\theta} \frac{\theta}{r^{2}n} d\sigma, \quad \varphi_{-n} = \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\theta} \frac{\theta}{r^{2}n-\epsilon} d\sigma;$   $\varphi'_{0} = \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{\theta} \frac{\theta}{r} d\sigma, \quad \varphi'_{n} = \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{\theta} \frac{\theta}{r^{2}n} d\sigma, \quad \varphi'_{-n} = \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\theta} \frac{\theta}{r^{2}n-\epsilon} d\sigma,$  (14)

we will also be able to write more briefly

$$u = U + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi\mu} \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ (2nh + z) \frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial x} + (2nh + h - z) \frac{\partial \varphi_n'}{\partial x} \right],$$
 (13')

9

$$v = V + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi \mu} \sum_{n}^{+\infty} \left[ (2nh + z) \frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial y} + (2nh + h - z) \frac{\partial \varphi'_n}{\partial y} \right],$$

$$w = W - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\mu} z \theta + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi \mu} h \sum_{n}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial \varphi'_n}{\partial z},$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\varphi'_n - \varphi_n).$$
(13')

The problem which we have proposed will apparently be solved if we succeed in determining functions  $\phi$  so that: (1) equation

$$\theta = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \tag{15}$$

/24

is identically satisfied in S; (2) in the same region S and on  $\sigma$  the values  $\phi$  and their first derivatives are finite; (3) the values of  $\phi$  themselves are harmonic and regular in S; (4) for z=0:

(5) finally, the series which appear on the right sides of equations (13) must be convergent and differentiable at least twice in S.

Therefore let us assume that

$$\varsigma_{\bullet} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left( a_{m} \cos m \omega + b_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

$$\varphi'_{\bullet} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{a}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma$$
(16)

in which  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$ ,  $\bar{a}_m$ ,  $\bar{b}_m$  are functions of  $\gamma$  to be determined. From this we consequently have

$$\varphi_{n} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma(inh+z)} (a_{m} \cos m \omega + b_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

$$\varphi_{-n} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma(inh+z)} (a_{m} \cos m \omega + b_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

$$\varphi'_{-n} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma(inh+h-z)} (\overline{a}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

$$\varphi'_{-n} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma(inh-h+z)} (\overline{a}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma.$$

$$(16')$$

With these values for  $\phi$ , an attempt must now be made to satisfy equation (15), which may be written

$$\frac{\lambda + 3 \mu + \infty}{4 \pi \mu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\varphi'_{n} - \varphi_{n}) + \dots + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \pi \mu} h \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} (\varphi_{n} + \varphi'_{n}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{V}{\partial z}.$$
(15')

The second term in this equation, since it is a harmonic and regular function S, may in this region be represented by an expression of the form

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left( a_{m} \cos m \omega + b_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \sum_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{a_{m}} \cos m \omega + \overline{b_{m}} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma$$
(17)

where  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$ ,  $\overline{a}_m$ ,  $\overline{b}_m$  are functions of  $\gamma$  which are assumed to be known. Substituting into expression (15') the values of  $\phi$ ,  $\phi'$  and of  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial z}$  given

by expressions (16), (16'), and (17) and setting the coefficients of

$$e^{-\gamma z}\cos m\ \omega\ J_m\ (\gamma\ \rho), \quad e^{-\gamma z}\sin m\ \omega\ J_m\ (\gamma\ \rho), \quad e^{\gamma(h-z)}\cos m\ \omega\ J_m\ (\gamma\ \rho),$$

$$e^{-\gamma(h-z)}\sin\ m\ \omega\ J_m\ (\gamma\ \rho)$$

in the two terms equal, the following equations are obtained

 $a_{m} = \frac{\lambda + 3 \mu}{4 \pi \mu} \gamma \left[ a_{m} \left( 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} \right) - \overline{a}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right] + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \pi \mu} h \gamma^{2} \left[ a_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - \overline{a}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right], \\ h_{m} = \frac{\lambda + 3 \mu}{4 \pi \mu} \gamma \left[ b_{m} \left( 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} \right) - \overline{b}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right] + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \pi \mu} h \gamma^{2} \left[ b_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - \overline{b}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right], \\ \overline{a}_{m} = \frac{\lambda + 3 \mu}{4 \pi \mu} \gamma \left[ \overline{a}_{m} \left( 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} - a_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right) + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \pi \mu} h \gamma^{2} \left[ \overline{a}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - a_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right], \\ \overline{b}_{m} = \frac{\lambda + 3 \mu}{4 \pi \mu} \gamma \left[ \overline{b}_{m} \left( 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} - a_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right) + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \pi \mu} h \gamma^{2} \left[ \overline{b}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - b_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right],$  (18)

and

$$\frac{4\pi\mu}{\gamma e^{h\gamma}} (1 - e^{ih\gamma})^2 a_m = (\lambda + 3\mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) (a_m e^{h\gamma} - \overline{a}_m) + \\
+ 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma e^{h\gamma} (a_m - \overline{a}_m e^{h\gamma}), \\
- \frac{4\pi\mu}{\gamma e^{h\gamma}} (1 - e^{ih\gamma})^2 \overline{a}_m = (\lambda + 3\mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) (a_m - \overline{a}_m e^{h\gamma}) + \\$$
(181)

<u>/25</u>

$$+2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma e^{h\gamma} (a_{m} e^{h\gamma} - a_{m}),$$

$$\Delta a_{m} = [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma] e^{h\gamma} a_{m} +$$

$$+ [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma e^{ih\gamma}] \bar{a}_{m},$$

$$\Delta \bar{a}_{m} = [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma e^{ih\gamma}] a_{m} +$$

$$+ [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma] e^{h\gamma} \bar{a}_{m},$$

$$\Delta b_{m} = [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma] e^{h\gamma} \bar{b}_{m} +$$

$$+ [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma e^{ih\gamma}] \bar{b}_{m},$$

$$\Delta \bar{b}_{m} = [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma e^{ih\gamma}] \bar{b}_{m},$$

$$+ [(\lambda + 3 \mu) (e^{ih\gamma} - 1) + 2 (\lambda + \mu) h \gamma e^{ih\gamma}] \bar{b}_{m},$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\gamma e^{h\gamma}}{4 \pi \mu (e^{ih\gamma} - 1)} [(\lambda + 3 \mu)^{i} (e^{ih\gamma} - 1)^{i} - 4 (\lambda + \mu)^{i} h^{i} \gamma^{i} e^{ih\gamma}].$$

$$(19)$$

The values of  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi'_0$  and therefore also the other values of  $\phi$  compiled  $\frac{/26}{26}$  according to expressions (16) and (16') with those values of functions  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$ ,  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$  are absolutely convergent and of equal degree in region S as a consequence of the convergence of series (17), which is absolute and of equal degree in the same region. The same properties are possessed also by the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k$ ,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k$ , ... and the others which appear in the second term of expression 1 1 (13), as is easily verified. If, moreover, U, V, W are finite together with the first and second derivatives also on  $\sigma$ , the  $\phi$  will also possess these properties.

6. With this, the problem is solved. We should like now to add the following developments which serve to complete the analytical representation of the solution. Let us suppose that in  ${\sf S}$ 

$$U = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left( \alpha_{m} \cos m \omega + \beta_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma ,$$

$$V = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left( \alpha_{m}^{(1)} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(1)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(1)} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(1)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma ,$$

$$W = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left( \alpha_{m}^{(2)} \cos m \omega + \beta_{m}^{(2)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(2)} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(2)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma ,$$

$$(20)$$

Then, assuming that  $x = \rho \cos \omega$ ,  $y = \rho \sin \omega$ :

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{2}\cos\omega\int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma z} \alpha_{0} J_{1}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma z} \left[ (\alpha_{m+1} - \alpha_{m-1})\cos m \omega + (\beta_{m+1} - \beta_{m-1})\sin m \omega \right] J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma -$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\cos\omega\int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma(h-z)} \overline{a_{0}} J_{1}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma(h-z)} \left[ \overline{a_{m+1}} - \overline{a_{m-1}} \right] \cos m \omega + (\overline{\beta}_{m+1} - \overline{\beta}_{m-1}) \sin m \omega \right] J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin\omega\int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma z} \sigma_{0}^{(1)} J_{1}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma z} \left[ -(\alpha_{m+1}^{(1)} + \alpha_{m-1}^{(1)}) \sin m \omega + (\beta_{m+1}^{(1)} + \beta_{m-1}^{(1)}) \cos m \omega \right] J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma -$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sin\omega\int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma(h-z)} \left[ -(\overline{\alpha}_{m+1}^{(1)} + \overline{\alpha}_{m-1}^{(1)}) \sin m \omega + (\overline{\beta}_{m+1}^{(1)} + \overline{\beta}_{m-1}^{(1)}) \cos m \omega \right] J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma(h-z)} \left[ -(\overline{\alpha}_{m+1}^{(1)} + \overline{\alpha}_{m-1}^{(1)}) \sin m \omega + (\overline{\beta}_{m+1}^{(1)} + \overline{\beta}_{m-1}^{(1)}) \cos m \omega \right] J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma(h-z)} \left[ -(\overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(1)} + \overline{\alpha}_{m-1}^{(1)}) \sin m \omega + (\overline{\beta}_{m}^{(1)} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{-\gamma(h-z)} (\overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(2)} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(2)} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma ,$$

$$\frac{\partial \, \rho}{\partial \, x} = \cos \, \omega, \quad \frac{\partial \, \rho}{\partial \, y} = \sin \, \omega, \quad \frac{\partial \, \omega}{\partial \, x} = -\frac{\sin \, \omega}{\rho}, \quad \frac{\partial \, \omega}{\partial \, y} = \frac{\cos \, \omega}{\rho}$$

$$J'_{0}(\gamma_{f}) = -J_{1}(\gamma_{f}), \quad \frac{2 \, m \, J_{m}(\gamma_{f})}{\gamma_{f}} = J_{m-1}(\gamma_{f}) + J_{m+1}(\gamma_{f}),$$

$$2 \, J'_{m}(\gamma_{f}) = J_{m-1}(\gamma_{f}) - J_{m+1}(\gamma_{f})$$
and that therefore
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \, x} \left[\cos \, m \, \omega \, J_{m}(\gamma_{f})\right] = \frac{\gamma}{2} \left[\cos \, (m-1) \, \omega \, J_{m-1}(\gamma_{f}) - \cos \, (m+1) \, \omega \, J_{m+1}(\gamma_{f})\right];$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \, y} \left[\cos \, m \, \omega \, J_{m}(\gamma_{f})\right] = \frac{\gamma}{2} \left[\sin \, (m-1) \, \omega \, J_{m-1}(\gamma_{f}) + \sin \, (m+1) \, \omega \, J_{m+1}(\gamma_{f})\right]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \, x} \left[\sin \, m \, \omega \, J_{m}(\gamma_{f})\right] = \frac{\gamma}{2} \left[\sin \, (m-1) \, \omega \, J_{m-1}(\gamma_{f}) + \sin \, (m+1) \, \omega \, J_{m+1}(\gamma_{f})\right].$$

<sup>\*</sup> It is sufficient therefore to note that

in whose summations m always varies from zero to  $+\infty$ . We assume however, that /28 the  $\beta$ 's with zero subscript and the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's with subscript -1 are zero.

We then find

$$\alpha_{\bullet} = \frac{\gamma}{2} (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{1}^{(1)} - 2 \alpha_{0}^{(2)}),$$

$$\alpha_{1} = \frac{\gamma}{2} (\alpha_{2} - 2 \alpha_{0} + \beta_{2}^{(1)} + \beta_{0}^{(1)} - 2 \alpha_{1}^{(2)}),$$

$$\beta_{1} = \frac{\gamma}{2} (\beta_{2} - \beta_{0} - \alpha_{2}^{(1)} - 2 \alpha_{0}^{(1)} - 2 \beta_{1}^{(2)}),$$

$$\alpha_{m} = \frac{\gamma}{2} (\alpha_{m+1} - \alpha_{m-1} + \beta_{m+1}^{(1)} + \beta_{m-1}^{(1)} - 2 \alpha_{m}^{(2)}),$$

$$\beta_{m} = \frac{\gamma}{2} (\beta_{m+1} - \beta_{m-1} - \alpha_{m+1}^{(1)} - 2 \beta_{m}^{(2)});$$

$$(21)$$

while  $\bar{a}_m$  and  $\bar{b}_m$  are given by the same formulas, provided that strokes are added to all the  $\alpha$ 's and  $\beta$ 's and the signs are changed on the last terms.

When U, V, W are given expanded into series in form (20) in S, and the functions  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$ ;  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$  are understood to be determined by means of the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which enter into U, V, W by expressions (19) and (21), the solution of our problem may also be represented by the following formulas:

$$u = U + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \, d\gamma \, \frac{2he^{2h\gamma}(e^{-\gamma z} - e^{\gamma z}) + z(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)(e^{\gamma(2h-z)} + e^{\gamma z})}{(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)^{2}} \left\{ -a_{0} \cos \omega J_{1}(\gamma \rho) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \, e^{h\gamma} \, d\gamma \, \frac{2h(e^{\gamma z} - e^{\gamma(2h-z)}) + (h-z)(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)(e^{\gamma z} + e^{-\gamma z})}{(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)^{2}} \left\{ -\bar{a}_{0} \cos \omega J_{1}(\gamma \rho) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \, e^{h\gamma} \, d\gamma \, \frac{2h(e^{\gamma z} - e^{\gamma(2h-z)}) + (h-z)(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)(e^{\gamma z} + e^{-\gamma z})}{(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)^{2}} \left\{ -\bar{a}_{0} \cos \omega J_{1}(\gamma \rho) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \, d\gamma \, \frac{2he^{2h\gamma}(e^{-\gamma z} - e^{\gamma z}) + z(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)(e^{\gamma(2h-z)} + e^{\gamma z})}{(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)^{2}} \left\{ -a_{0} \sin \omega J_{1}(\gamma \rho) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \, d\gamma \, \frac{2he^{2h\gamma}(e^{-\gamma z} - e^{\gamma z}) + z(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)(e^{\gamma(2h-z)} + e^{\gamma z})}{(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)^{2}} \left\{ -a_{0} \sin \omega J_{1}(\gamma \rho) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \, d\gamma \, \frac{2he^{2h\gamma}(e^{-\gamma z} - e^{\gamma z}) + z(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)(e^{\gamma(2h-z)} + e^{\gamma z})}{(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)^{2}} \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \left[ (b_{m+1} + b_{m-1}) \cos m \omega - (a_{m+1} + a_{m-1}) \sin m \omega \right] J_{m} (\gamma \rho) \right\} +$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8 \pi \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma e^{h\gamma} d\gamma \frac{2h(e^{\gamma z} - e^{\gamma(sh-z)}) + (h-z)(e^{2h\gamma} - 1)(e^{\gamma z} + e^{-\gamma z})}{(e^{sh\gamma} - 1)^{s}} \left\{ -a_{0} \sin \omega J_{1} (\gamma \rho) + \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \left[ (\overline{b}_{m+1} + \overline{b}_{m-1}) \cos m \omega - (\overline{a}_{m+1} + \overline{a}_{m-1}) \sin m \omega \right] J_{m} (\gamma \rho) \right\},$$

$$w = W - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \mu} z \beta + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4 \pi \mu} h \sum_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma e^{h\gamma} (e^{\gamma z} - e^{-\gamma z})}{e^{2h\gamma} - 1} (\overline{a}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma (e^{\gamma(sh-z)} - e^{\gamma z})}{e^{2h\gamma} - 1} (a_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{h\gamma} (e^{\gamma z} - e^{-\gamma z})}{e^{2h\gamma} - 1} (\overline{a}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

in which formulas it must be assumed that  $b_0 = \overline{b}_0 = a_{-1} = \overline{a}_{-1} = b_{-1} = \overline{b}_{-1} = 0$ .

7. Case in which L, M, N are given on the two limiting planes. This new problem could be easily solved directly by starting from expressions (8) (I) and making use of the formulas which gives the function which is harmonic and regular in S by means of the values which its normal derivative acquires on  $\sigma$ , which has been constructed for No. 3. It is perhaps more convenient to make the problem depend on the preceding problem by observing that the indefinite equations derived with respect to z give us

$$\Delta^{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = 0, \dots$$
 (23)

Writing the formulas similar to expression (13) for these equations and noting that the functions which are harmonic and regular in S -- which on  $\sigma$  assume the values  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta}$ ,  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \zeta}$ ,  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}$  for the surface conditions, i.e.,

on 
$$\sigma_1$$
: 
$$L = -2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} - \sigma_z \right), \quad M = -2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial \zeta} + \sigma_z \right),$$

$$N = -\lambda \theta - 2 \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta},$$
and on  $\sigma_2$ : 
$$L = 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} - \sigma_z \right), \quad M = 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial \zeta} + \sigma_z \right), \quad N = \lambda \theta + 2 \mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta},$$

are identical, respectively, to

 $\frac{\varrho}{2\mu} + \overline{\sigma_z}, \quad \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{2\mu} - \overline{\sigma_1}, \quad \frac{\mathfrak{N}}{2\mu} - \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} \delta,$ 

where  $\ell$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}$  indicate the functions which are harmonic and regular in S which assume on  $\sigma_1$  the values of L, M, N given on this plane with changed sign and on  $\sigma_2$  the values of L, M, N given on  $\sigma_2$  with their own sign, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\varrho}{2\mu} + \sigma_z + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi \mu} \left\{ z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} (2nh + z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\tilde{\rho}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}} - \right\}$$

$$- \sum_{1}^{\infty} (2nh - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} + (h - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\tilde{\sigma}_{1}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r} + \frac{\chi}{2} \left[ (2n + 1)h - z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} \right\},$$

$$- \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left[ (2n - 1)h + z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} \right\},$$

$$- \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left[ (2n - 1)h - z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} + (h - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}} - \frac{\chi}{2} \left[ (2nh - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} + (h - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}} - \frac{\chi}{2} \left[ (2nh - z) h - z \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} \right\},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\Re}{2\pi} - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \theta - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\pi} z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi\mu} h \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r} + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{r} \right\} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} \right\},$$

$$+ \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\sigma}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}} \right\}.$$

Calling  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_0'$ ,  $\psi_n$ ,  $\psi_{-n}$ ,  $\psi_{-n}'$ ,  $\psi_{-n}'$  the expressions similar to  $\phi$  of the preceding problem when in the respective integrals, instead of  $\theta$ , we set  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \zeta}$ , the formulas (24) may be written

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\varrho}{2 \mu} + \pi_z + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4 \pi \mu} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ (2 n h + z) \frac{\partial \dot{\psi}_n}{\partial x} + (2 n h + h - z) \frac{\partial \dot{\psi}_n}{\partial z} \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{2 \mu} - \varpi_1 + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4 \pi \mu} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ (2 n h + z) \frac{\partial \dot{\psi}_n}{\partial y} + (2 n h + h - z) \frac{\partial \dot{\psi}_n}{\partial y} \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{\mathfrak{M}}{2 \mu} - \frac{\lambda}{2 \mu} \theta - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \mu} z \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4 \pi \mu} h \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \dot{\psi}_n}{\partial z}.$$
(24)

The problem is reduced to determining the unknown functions  $\bar{\omega}_1$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_2$  and the values of  $\psi$ , so that the following holds identically

$$\frac{\partial \, \overline{\sigma}_{1}}{\partial \, z} = \frac{1}{2 \, \mu} \left( \frac{\partial \, \mathfrak{N}}{\partial \, y} - \frac{\partial \, \mathfrak{M}}{\partial \, z} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2 \, \mu} \, \frac{\partial \, \mathfrak{I}}{\partial \, y} - \right\} \tag{25}$$

<u>/31</u>

$$-\frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi \mu} \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( 2 n h \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial z} + \psi_n \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( 2 n h \frac{\partial \psi'_n}{\partial z} - \psi'_n \right) \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} +$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi \mu} \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( 2 n h \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial z} + \psi_n \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( 2 n h \frac{\partial \psi'_n}{\partial z} - \psi'_n \right) \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\lambda + \mu} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{h}{\pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} (\psi_n + \psi'_n)$$
(25)

and so that the values of  $\psi$  satisfy the same conditions 2, 3, 4, and 5 which are satisfied by  $\phi$  of the preceding problem.

Of equations (25), only the third is a condition for the values of  $\psi$ . When these functions are determined, the first two of equations (25) are determined by quadratures;  $\bar{\omega}_1$  and  $\bar{\omega}_2$  and u, v, w are also determined by expression (24) or (24') by means of quadratures.

Noting that

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\psi'_{n} - \psi_{n}), \tag{26}$$

we may write the last equation in expression (25) as

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\psi'_n - \psi_n) + 2 h \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} (\psi_n + \psi'_n) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda + \mu} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right)$$
(25')

If we now set

$$\mathfrak{L} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left( \alpha_{m} \cos m \, \omega + \beta_{m} \sin m \, \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m} \cos m \, \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m} \sin m \, \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma + \\
\mathfrak{L}_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(1)} \cos m \, \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(1)} \sin m \, \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(1)} \cos m \, \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(1)} \sin m \, \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma + \\
\mathfrak{L}_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(2)} \cos m \, \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(2)} \sin m \, \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(2)} \cos m \, \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(2)} \sin m \, \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma,$$

it will be found that

$$\frac{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial z} = \sum_{m} \int_{e^{-\gamma z}}^{\infty} (a_{m} \cos m \omega + b_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma + \sum_{m} \int_{e^{-\gamma(h-z)}}^{\infty} (\bar{a}_{m} \cos m \omega + \bar{b}_{m} \sin m \omega) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma}$$
(28)

the functions  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$ ;  $\overline{a}_m$ ,  $\overline{b}_m$  of  $\gamma$  being expressed by means of functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  from expression (21). If we now hypothesize:

$$\psi_{o} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma z} \left( a_{m} \cos m \omega + b_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$$

$$\psi_{o} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma (h-z)} \left( \overline{a}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{b}_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m}(\gamma \rho) d\gamma (*),$$
(29)

the other values of  $\psi$  will be determined, like the corresponding values of  $\frac{/33}{\phi}$ , by expression (16'). Then substituting expression (29) for  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi'_0$  in expression (25'), similar expressions for the other values of  $\psi$ , and expression (28) for  $\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}}{\partial z}$ , and setting equal the coefficients of

$$e^{-\gamma z}\cos m\omega J_m(\gamma z), \quad e^{-\gamma z}\sin m\omega J_m(\gamma z),$$
 $e^{-\gamma(h-z)}\cos m\omega J_m(\gamma z), \quad e^{-\gamma(h-z)}\sin m\omega J_m(\gamma z),$ 

we find the following equations:

$$\frac{2\pi}{\lambda + \mu} \, \bar{a}_{m} = \gamma \left[ a_{m} \left( 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} \right) - \bar{a}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right] + \\
+ 2h \gamma^{2} \left[ a_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - \bar{a}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right], \\
\frac{2\pi}{\lambda + \mu} \, \bar{b}_{m} = \gamma \left[ b_{m} \left( 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} \right) - \bar{b}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right] + \\
+ 2h \gamma^{2} \left[ b_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - \bar{b}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right], \\
\frac{2\pi}{\lambda + \mu} \, \bar{a}_{m} = \gamma \left[ \bar{a}_{m} \left( 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} \right) - a_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right] + \\
+ 2h \gamma^{2} \left[ \bar{a}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - a_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right] + \\
+ 2h \gamma^{2} \left[ \bar{b}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - b_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right] + \\
+ 2h \gamma^{2} \left[ \bar{b}_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-2nh\gamma} - b_{m} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n e^{-(2n-1)h\gamma} \right],$$

from which we have

<sup>\*</sup> We have indicated the indeterminate functions of  $\gamma$  which enter into  $\{\gamma, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M},$ 

$$\Delta a_{m} = (e^{ih\gamma} + 2h\gamma - 1)e^{h\gamma}a_{m} + [e^{ih\gamma}(2h\gamma + 1) - 1]a_{m},$$

$$\Delta \overline{a}_{m} = [e^{ih\gamma}(2h\gamma + 1) - 1]a_{m} + (e^{ih\gamma} + 2h\gamma - 1)e^{h\gamma}a_{m},$$

$$\Delta b_{m} = (e^{ih\gamma} + 2h\gamma - 1)e^{h\gamma}b_{m} + [e^{ih\gamma}(2h\gamma + 1) - 1]b_{m},$$

$$\Delta b_{m} = [e^{ih\gamma}(2h\gamma + 1) - 1]b_{m} + (e^{ih\gamma} + 2h\gamma - 1)e^{h\gamma}b_{m},$$

$$\Delta = \frac{(\lambda + \mu)\gamma e^{h\gamma}}{2\pi(e^{ih\gamma} - 1)}[(e^{ih\gamma} - 1)^{2} - 4h^{2}\gamma^{2}e^{ih\gamma}].$$
(30)

The problem is solved, except for performing the quadratures, and verification of the solution may be readily provided by bearing in mind what has been said for the preceding problem.

8. To complete the analytical description of the solution, let us set

$$\overline{v} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{\gamma} \left( \alpha_{m} \cos m \omega + \beta_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} \left( \gamma \rho \right) d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma (h-z)}}{\gamma} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} \left( \gamma \rho \right) d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{\gamma} \left( \alpha_{m}^{(1)} \cos m \omega + \beta_{m}^{(1)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} \left( \gamma \rho \right) d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma (h-z)}}{\gamma} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(1)} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(1)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} \left( \gamma \rho \right) d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma z}}{\gamma} \left( \alpha_{m}^{(2)} \cos m \omega + \beta_{m}^{(2)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} \left( \gamma \rho \right) d\gamma + \\
+ \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\gamma (h-z)}}{\gamma} \left( \overline{\alpha}_{m}^{(2)} \cos m \omega + \overline{\beta}_{m}^{(2)} \sin m \omega \right) J_{m} \left( \gamma \rho \right) d\gamma,$$
(31)

in which formulas it is understood that the term corresponding to m = 0 is suitably modified so that the integral will be finite.\*

$$\int_{\sigma_1} L\left(\frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2nh}\right) d\sigma = \int_{\sigma_1} L\left(\frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2nh\gamma} d\gamma\right) d\sigma \dots$$

It then suffices to develop  $\frac{1}{r_{2n}}$  in Bessel functions, as was done by Heine (loc. cit.) and to sum with respect to n.

<sup>\*</sup> To construct the expression, for example, for  $\bar{x}$ , without misunderstanding, it may be remarked that  $\bar{x}$  represents the harmonic function in S whose normal derivative assumes on  $\sigma$  the values L, and therefore use may be made of formula (10) in which the condition is made that

Similarly, let us indicate by a letter or a stroke, or with two strokes on top, every function which is harmonic and regular in S whose derivative with respect to z, or whose second derivative with respect to z, is the function which is harmonic and regular in S represented bh the same letter without strokes. We will thus have

/35

/36

$$u = \frac{\overline{\psi}}{2\mu} + \overline{u}_{z} + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi \nu} \sum_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left[ (2nh + z) \frac{\partial \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\overline{\psi}_{\alpha} - \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}) \right],$$

$$v = \frac{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{2\mu} - \overline{u}_{z} + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\pi \mu} \sum_{\alpha}^{+\infty} \left[ (2nh + z) \frac{\partial \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\overline{\psi}_{\alpha} - \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}) \right],$$

$$v = \frac{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{2\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\theta} - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\nu} \sum_{\alpha}^{+\infty} \left[ (2nh + z) \frac{\partial \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\overline{\psi}_{\alpha} - \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}) \right],$$

$$v = \frac{1}{2\nu} \left( \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2\nu} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \overline{\psi}_{\alpha} - \overline{\psi}_{\alpha} \right],$$

$$v = \frac{1}{2\nu} \left( \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2\nu} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \overline{\psi}_{\alpha} - \overline{\psi}_{\alpha} \right],$$

$$v = \frac{1}{2\nu} \left( \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2\nu} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ 2nh\psi_{\alpha} - \overline{\psi}_{\alpha} \right],$$

$$v = \frac{1}{2\nu} \left( \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2\nu} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\nu} + \frac{\lambda}{2\nu} \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{M}}}{\partial z} + \frac{\lambda}{2\nu} \frac{\partial$$

These formulas, finally -- by substituting expressions (29) and similar ones for values of  $\psi$  and performing the summation with respect to n -- may be formulated as follows

 $u = \frac{\overline{v}}{2u} + \overline{w}_{i} - \tag{25'}$ 

\* If the  $\psi_n$ ,  $\psi'_n$  are represented by integrals extended over  $\sigma_1$  or  $\sigma_2$ , it must be understood that in these formulas

$$\psi_n = \int_{\sigma_0} \theta \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{1}{2nh} \right) d\sigma, \quad \psi_{-n} = \int_{\sigma_0} \theta \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n-1}} - \frac{1}{2nh} \right) d\sigma...$$

$$\frac{2+\mu}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma e^{ih\gamma}(e^{iz}+e^{-\gamma z})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)[e^{izh-z}+e^{\gamma z}+2\gamma(e^{izh-z}-e^{iz})]}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\cos\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{iz}+e^{iyh-z})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)[e^{iz}+e^{-\gamma z}+(h-z)\gamma(e^{iz}-e^{-\gamma z})]}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\cos\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{iz}+e^{iyh-z})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)[e^{iz}+e^{-\gamma z}+(h-z)\gamma(e^{iz}-e^{-\gamma z})]}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\cos\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{iz}+e^{-iy}+(e^{ih\gamma}-1))[e^{ih\gamma}-iz]+e^{iz}+2\gamma(e^{iih-z})-e^{iz})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\sin\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{iz}+e^{-iz}+(e^{ih\gamma}-1))[e^{iz}+e^{-iz}+(h-z)\gamma(e^{iz}-e^{-iz})]}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\sin\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{iz}+e^{-iz}+(e^{ih\gamma}-1))[e^{iz}+e^{-iz}+(h-z)\gamma(e^{iz}-e^{-iz})]}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\sin\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{iz}+e^{-iz}-1)(e^{iz}-e^{-iz}+(h-z)\gamma(e^{iz}-e^{-iz}))}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\sin\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{4\pi\mu} h \sum_{m} \left[ (\bar{b}_{m+1}+\bar{b}_{m-1})\cos m\omega - (\bar{a}_{m+1}+\bar{a}_{m-1})\sin m\omega \right] J_{m}(\gamma z) \right\} - \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}(e^{-iz}-e^{-iz})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)(e^{iz}-e^{i(ih-z)})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\sin\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) + \frac{1}{2\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{-ih\gamma}(e^{-iz}-e^{-iz})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)(e^{-iz}-e^{i(ih-z)})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\sin\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) \right\} - \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{-ih\gamma}(e^{-iz}-e^{-iz})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)(e^{-iz}-e^{i(ih-z)})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\sin\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) \right\} - \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{-ih\gamma}(e^{-ix}-e^{-iz})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)(e^{-iz}-e^{i(ih-z)})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\cos\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) \right\} - \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{-ih\gamma}(e^{-ix}-e^{-iz})+(e^{ih\gamma}-1)(e^{-iz}-e^{i(ih-z)})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\cos\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) \right\} - \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{-ih\gamma}(e^{-ix}-e^{-iz})+(e^{-ih\gamma}-1)(e^{-iz}-e^{i(ih-z)})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1)!} \left\{ -a_{s}\cos\omega J_{1}(\gamma z) \right\} + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{hz} d\gamma \frac{2h\gamma(e^{-ih\gamma}(e^{-ix}-e^{-iz})+(e^{-ih\gamma}-1)(e^{-ix}-e^{-ih\gamma}(e^{-ix}-e^{-ix})})}{\gamma(e^{ih\gamma}-1$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \left[ (a_{m+1} - a_{m-1}) \cos m \, \omega + (b_{m+1} - b_{m-1}) \sin m \, \omega \right] J_{m} (\gamma \, \rho) \right\} +$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8 \pi \, u} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{h\gamma} \, d\gamma \, \frac{2 \, h \, \gamma \, e^{h\gamma} \, (e^{-\gamma} \, h^{-z}) - e^{\gamma(h-z)} + (e^{zh\gamma} - 1) \, (e^{-\gamma z} - e^{\gamma z})}{\gamma \, (e^{zh\gamma} - 1)^{2}} \left\{ - (\bar{a}_{0} \sin \omega) \, J_{1} (\gamma \, \rho) +$$

$$+ \sum_{m} \left[ (\bar{a}_{m+1} - \bar{a}_{m-1}) \cos m \, \omega + (\bar{b}_{m+1} - \bar{b}_{m-1}) \sin m \, \omega \right] J_{m} (\gamma \, \rho) \right\},$$

$$\zeta = -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{h\gamma} (e^{\gamma z} + e^{-\gamma z})}{e^{zh\gamma} - 1} (a_{m} \cos m \, \omega + b_{m} \sin m \, \omega) J_{m} (\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{h\gamma} (e^{\gamma z} + e^{-\gamma z})}{e^{zh\gamma} - 1} (\bar{a}_{m} \cos m \, \omega + \bar{b}_{m} \sin m \, \omega) J_{m} (\gamma \, \rho) \, d\gamma \, (*),$$

in which formulas it must still be assumed that  $b_0 = \overline{b}_0 = a_{-1} = \overline{a}_{-1} = b_{-1} = b_{-1} = 0$ .

8. Cases in which other conditions are given on the two limiting planes. By the use of methods similar to the preceding ones, all the other problems in which some of the displacements and some of the stresses on  $\sigma$  are given may be easily solved. These problems also include the four in which both on  $\sigma_1$  and on  $\sigma_2$  (1) u, v, N; (2) L, v, w or u, M, w; (3) u, M, N or L, v, N; (4) L, M, w are given. To obtain the solution of these problems, it is sufficient to combine in proper fashion expressions (13) or (13') derived with respect to z, and expressions (24) or (24'), and to bear in mind that, given  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi'_0$  expanded into series, as by expression (16), the expansions into similar series of  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi'_0$  are

 $\psi_{0} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma z}}{e^{zh\gamma} - 1} \left\{ \left[ -(e^{zh\gamma} + 1) a_{m} + 2 e^{h\gamma} \overline{a}_{m} \right] \cos m \omega + \right. \\ \left. + \left[ -(e^{zh\gamma} + 1) b_{m} + 2 e^{h\gamma} \overline{b}_{m} \right] \sin m \omega \right\} J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$   $\psi_{0} = \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma(h-z)}}{e^{zh\gamma} - 1} \left\{ \left[ -2 e^{h\gamma} a_{m} + (e^{zh\gamma} + 1) \overline{a}_{m} \right] \cos m \omega + \right. \\ \left. + \left[ -2 e^{h\gamma} b_{m} + (e^{zh\gamma} + 1) \overline{b}_{m} \right) \sin m \omega \right\} J_{m} (\gamma \rho) d\gamma,$  (32)

/38

These values of  $\psi_0$  and  ${\psi'}_0$  are easily expressed by a series of derivatives of  $\phi_0$  with respect to z, and may be obtained by setting equal the coefficients of the two sides of the equation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} (\varphi'_{n} - \varphi_{n}) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{2}} (\psi'_{n} - \psi_{n}).$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> In this formula, we must note that  $a_m$ ,  $b_m$ ,  $\overline{a}_m$ ,  $\overline{b}_m$  have a factor  $\gamma$ .

In addition to the four preceding problems, twenty other problems may be solved with equal ease, i.e., those in which on one of the planes, for example, u, v, w or L, M, N are given on  $\sigma_1$ , or one of the other six combinations enumerated above, while on  $\sigma_2$  other conditions are given, always taken from these combinations, but not identical to those given on  $\sigma_1$ . To solve these other problems, the formula must also be used which gives the function, harmonic in S, in terms of the values which this function assumes on one of the planes and by means of the values which its normal derivative assumes on the other plane, and of the corresponding Green function of which we spoke in No. 2.

Among all of these problems, special mention should be made of the three in which the normal component of the stress and the tangential components of the displacements on any one of the planes is given, or vice versa, because to solve them it is not necessary to have recourse to expansions of special functions into series. Availing ourselves, in fact, of one property of the elasticity equations taken from Professor Somigliana\* we may — by starting with the solutions of similar problems given on page 13 of Note I and relative to the case in which the elastic body is limited by a single plane — formulate infinite solutions of the elasticity problem with successive reflections, in Professor Somigliana's sense, with respect to planes  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . With these infinite solutions, the solutions of our problems may be formulated by an alternate method.

## II. <u>Problems in Which the Elastic Body is Limited by</u> <u>Two Concentric Spheres</u>

1. Green's Function and Harmonic Function. About this very well-known topic we will say as much as is necessary in order to proceed safely in our computations. Let us assume that the two spheres limiting the elastic body have as their common center the coordinate origin, and let us indicate their surfaces by  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , and their radii by  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , respectively, and let  $R_1$  < <  $R_2$ . Meantime, we shall continue to indicate by  $\sigma$  the combination of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , and by S the poriton of space limited by them.

If A  $\equiv$  (x, y, z) is a point inside S and ( $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$ ) any other point of S, let us set

$$l = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \quad \rho = \sqrt{\xi^2 + \eta^2 + \xi^2},$$

$$l \rho \cos \omega = x \, \xi + y \, \eta + z \, \zeta, \quad r = \sqrt{l^2 + \rho^2 - 2 \, l \, \rho \cos \omega}$$

which is the notation already adopted. Together with point A, let us consider the infinite series of points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , ...,  $A_n$ , ... which are obtained by taking in succession the reciprocals of A first with respect to  $\sigma_1$  and then with

<u>/39</u>

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;On the Principle of the Images of Lord Kelvin and the Elasticity Equations", Rediconti dell'Accademia dei Lincei, Vol. 11, 1st half-year, signature 5, fascicle 4.

respect to  $\sigma_2$ , which will alternately fall on the outside of  $\sigma_2$  and on the inside of  $\sigma_1$ . Let us consider the infinite series of points  $A_1'$ ,  $A_2'$ , ...  $A_n'$ , ..., which are obtained by taking in succession the reciprocals of A first with respect to  $\sigma_1$  and then with respect to  $\sigma_2$ , which will alternately fall on the outside of  $\sigma_2$  and on the inside of  $\sigma_1$ . All these points, as is known, are located on the radius vector which goes to point A. Let us then designate  $l_i$  and  $r_i$  the distances from the origin and from point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  to  $A_i$ , and by  $l_i'$ ,  $r_i'$  the distance of these same two points from  $A_i'$ . We will clearly have

$$l_{1} = \frac{R_{1}^{2}}{l}, l_{2} = \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{2} l, \dots, l_{2n} = \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n} l, l_{2n+1} = \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n} \frac{R_{1}^{2}}{l}, \dots$$

$$l_{1} = \frac{R_{2}^{2}}{l}, l_{2} = \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2} l, \dots, l_{2n} = \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2n} l, l_{2n+1} = \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{2n} \frac{R_{2}^{2}}{l}, \dots$$

$$r_{i} = \sqrt{\rho^{2} + l_{i}^{2} - 2 l_{i} \rho \cos \omega}, \quad r'_{i} = \sqrt{l_{i}^{2} + \rho^{2} - 2 l_{i} \rho \cos \omega}.$$
(33)

By known properties of the inversion, when point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  is on  $\sigma_1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{R_1}{l} \frac{1}{r_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{r_{2n}} = \frac{R_1}{l_{2n}} \frac{1}{r_{2n+1}}, \dots;$$

$$\frac{1}{r'_1} = \frac{R_1}{l'_1} \frac{1}{r'_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{r'_{2n-1}} = \frac{R_1}{l'_{2n-1}} \frac{1}{r'_{2n}}, \dots;$$

while if point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  is located on  $\sigma_2$  we have

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{R_2}{l} \frac{1}{r'_4}, \dots, \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} = \frac{R_2}{l'_{2n}} \frac{1}{r'_{2n+1}}, \dots;$$

$$\frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{R_2}{l_1} \frac{1}{r_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{r_{2n-1}} = \frac{R_2}{l_{2n-1}} \frac{1}{r_{2n}}, \dots$$

If -- when point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  is chosen in any way -- it is A which falls on  $\sigma_1$  and hence  $\mathcal{I} = R_i$ , we have

$$l = l_1, l_2 = l_1, ..., l_i = l'_{i-1}, ...;$$
  
 $r = r_1, r_2 = r'_1, ..., r_i = r'_{i-1}, ...,$ 

If A falls on  $\sigma_2$  and hence  $l = R_2$ , we have

$$l = l'_1, l'_2 = l_1, ..., l'_i = l_{i-1}, ...;$$
  
 $r = r'_1, r'_2 = r_1, ..., r'_i = r_{i-1}, ...$ 

Series

$$g = \frac{R_{1}}{l} \frac{1}{r_{1}} - \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \left( \frac{1}{r_{2}} - \frac{R_{1}}{l_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{3}} \right) - \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{2} \left( \frac{1}{r_{4}} - \frac{R_{1}}{l_{4}} \frac{1}{r_{5}} \right) - \dots =$$

$$= \frac{R_{1}}{l} \frac{1}{r_{1}} - \sum_{1}^{R_{1}} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{n} \left( \frac{1}{r_{2n}} - \frac{R_{1}}{l_{2n}} \frac{1}{r_{2n+1}} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{R_{1}}{l} \left[ \frac{1}{r_{1}} - \frac{R_{2}}{l_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{2}} + \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \left( \frac{1}{r_{3}} - \frac{R_{2}}{l_{5}} \frac{1}{r_{4}} \right) + \dots \right] =$$

$$(34)$$

$$=\frac{R_{i}}{l}\sum_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{R_{i}}{R_{2}}\right)^{n-i}\left(\frac{1}{r_{2n-i}}-\frac{R_{2}}{l_{2n-i}}\frac{1}{r_{2n}}\right)$$
(34)

is such that its terms, considered in S as functions of  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$ , are all of the same sign and are harmonic and regular functions. Based on what has been noted, it reduces to  $\frac{1}{r}$  on  $\sigma_1$ , while on  $\sigma_2$  it becomes identically zero. The same series therefore represents a function which is harmonic and regular in S and differentiable term by term any number of times. Series

$$g' = \frac{R_2}{l} \frac{1}{r'_1} - \frac{R_1}{R_2} \left( \frac{1}{r'_2} - \frac{R_2}{l_2} \frac{1}{r'_3} \right) - \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{r'_4} - \frac{R_2}{l_4} \frac{1}{r'_5} \right) - \dots =$$

$$= \frac{R_2}{l} \frac{1}{r'_1} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^n \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} - \frac{R_2}{l_{3n}} \frac{1}{r'_{2n+1}} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{R_2}{l} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right)^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{r'_{2n-1}} - \frac{R_1}{l'_{2n-1}} \frac{1}{r'_{2n}} \right).$$
(35)

possesses similar properties; g' becomes identically zero on  $\sigma_1$ , while on  $\sigma_2$  it becomes equal to  $\frac{1}{r}$ . Thus Green's function relative to point A and to space S is as follows in the most suitable form for our calculations

$$G = \frac{1}{r} - g - g'. \tag{36}$$

The normal derivative of G on  $\sigma_1$  is:

$$-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)_{q=R_{1}} = \frac{1}{R_{2}} \left[\frac{R_{2}^{2}-l^{2}}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{n} \frac{R_{2}^{2}-l^{2}_{2n}}{r^{3}_{2n}} - \right]_{q=R_{2}}$$

$$-\frac{R_{1}}{l} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{n-1} \frac{R_{2}^{2}-l^{2}_{2n-1}}{r^{2}_{2n-1}} \right]_{q=R_{2}}.$$
(37)

and on  $\sigma_2$ :

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \frac{r}{r}}\right)_{c=R_{1}}}{\left(\frac{R_{1}^{2}-l^{2}}{r^{3}}+\sum_{1}^{\infty}n\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{n}\frac{R_{1}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}n}{r_{2}^{3}n}-\frac{R_{2}}{l}\sum_{1}^{\infty}n\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{n-1}\frac{R_{1}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}n-1}{r_{2}^{2}n-1}\right]_{c=R_{1}}}$$
(37')

Function  $\Phi$  which is harmonic and regular in S, which assumes the values given on  $\sigma,$  will therefore be represented by formula

$$4 \pi \Phi = -\frac{1}{R_{1}} \left[ (R_{1}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{n} (R_{1}^{2} - l_{2n}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{3}} - \frac{l^{2}}{l^{2}} \left[ \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right]^{n-1} (R_{1}^{2} - l_{2n-1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right] + \frac{1}{R_{2}} \left[ (R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n} (R_{2}^{2} - l_{2n}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} - \frac{l^{2}}{l^{2}} \right] - \frac{R_{1}}{l^{2}} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n-1} (R_{2}^{2} - l_{2n-1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right].$$

$$(38)$$

25

/42

2. Noting that, if  $\Phi$  is a harmonic function such as  $l\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial l}$ , it immediately results that in order to formulate the function which is harmonic and regular in S whose normal derivative assumes assigned values on  $\sigma$ , it is sufficient to formulate the function which is harmonic and regular in S which assumes on  $\sigma_1$  the values given for the normal derivative on this surface multiplied by  $R_1$ , and on  $\sigma_2$  the values given on  $\sigma_2$  for the normal derivative, these values being similarly multiplied by  $R_2$ . From the function thus formulated, the desired function will be derived by quadrature. So we have

$$4 \pi l \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial l} = -(R_{1}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{n_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r^{3}} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{n} (R_{1}^{2} - l_{1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} + \\ + \frac{R_{2}}{l} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{n-1} (R_{1}^{2} - l_{1n-1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} - \\ - (R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r^{3}} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{n} (R_{2}^{2} - l_{2n}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} + \\ + \frac{R_{1}}{l} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{n-1} (R_{2}^{2} - l_{2n-1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}}$$

and thus

 $4 \pi \Phi = \cot_{s} - \int_{+\infty}^{l} \frac{dl}{l} \left[ (R_{1}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{l}} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} \frac{d\sigma}{r^{2}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{n} (R_{1}^{2} - l_{2n}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{l}} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} - \frac{R_{1}}{l} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n-1} (R_{2}^{2} - l_{2n-1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{d}} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right] - \int_{0}^{l} \frac{dl}{l} \left[ (R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n} (R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{d}} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} - \frac{R_{2}}{l} \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{n-1} (R_{1}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{d}} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right] \cdot$  (39)

The first of the integrals which appear in this formula is certainly finite. In order to demonstrate the fact that the second is also finite, let us note that

$$\lim_{l=0} \left[ (R_{2}^{r} - l^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{1}} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n} (R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}_{2n}) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} \frac{d \sigma}{r^{2}_{2n}} \right] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{R_{2}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n} \right] \int_{\sigma_{2}} \frac{d \Phi}{d n} d \sigma,$$

$$-\lim_{l=0} \frac{R_{2}}{l} \sum_{1}^{\infty} {\binom{R_{2}}{R_{1}}}^{n-1} (R_{1}^{2} - l_{2n-1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{1} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{R_{2}} \left[ \sum_{1}^{\infty} {\binom{R_{1}}{R_{2}}}^{n-1} \right] \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{1} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} d\sigma = \frac{1}{R_{2}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} {\binom{R_{1}}{R_{2}}}^{n} \right] \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{1} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} d\sigma$$

and that for the existence of the desired function it must be assumed that

$$\int_{\sigma_1} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} d\sigma + \int_{\sigma_2} \frac{d\Phi}{dn} d\sigma = 0.$$

3. For greater clarity in future computations, let us add the following observations.

Meanwhile

$$(R_{1}^{2} - l_{2n}^{3}) \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{3}} =$$

$$= \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2n} \left[ \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} + l^{2} - 2\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2n} R_{1} l \cos \omega}} =$$

$$= \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2n} \left[ \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

$$= \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \left[ l^{2} - \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} + l^{2} - 2\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{2n} R_{1} l \cos \omega}}$$

$$= \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \left[ l^{2} - \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

$$(R_{2}^{2} - l_{2n}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} = \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{2n} \left[ \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n} R_{2}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

$$\frac{R_{1}}{l} \left(R_{2}^{2} - l_{2n-1}^{2}\right) \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} = \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \left[ l^{2} - \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n} R_{2}^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

where we have set

$$\mathbf{r}_{2n} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{4n}} R_1^2 + l^2 - 2\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{2n}} R_1 \, l \cos \omega,$$

$$\mathbf{r}'_{2n-1} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)^{4n}} R_1^2 + l^2 - 2\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)^{2n}} R_1 \, l \cos \omega,$$

$$\mathbf{r}'_{2n} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)^{4n}} R_2^2 + l^2 - 2\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)^{2n} R_2 \, l \cos \omega,$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{2n-1} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{4n}} R_2^2 + l^2 - 2\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{2n} R_2 \, l \cos \omega.$$

With these positions, expression (38) may be written

 $\Phi = \frac{1}{4\pi R_{1}} \left\{ (l^{2} - R_{1}^{2}) \int_{a_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n} \left[ l^{2} - \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} \right] \int_{a_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{3}} - \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \int_{a_{1}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{3}} \right\} + \\
+ \frac{1}{4\pi R_{2}} \left\{ (R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{a_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{4n} R_{2}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \int_{a_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} - \\
- \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n} \left[ l^{2} - \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{4n} R_{2}^{2} \right] \int_{a_{2}} \Phi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} \right\}.$ (38)

/45

/46

Secondly, let us remember that every function which is harmoinc and regular inside a sphere having its center at the origin may be represented by a series which is absolutley convergent and, of equal degree inside the sphere. This series has the form

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} X_{m} (x, y, z)$$

where  $X_m(x, y, z)$  is a harmonic function homogeneous in x, y, z of whole and positive degree m. Similarly, every function which is harmonic and regular outside a sphere having its center in the origin may be represented by a series of form

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} X_{-(m+1)}(x, y, z)$$

where  $X_{-(m+1)}(x, y, z)$  is a function harmonic, homogeneous in x, y, z of the whole negative -(m+1) degree. Finally, every function harmonic and regular in the space between two concentric spheres having their common center in the coordinate origin may be represented by the sum of the two series

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} X_{m}(x, y, z) + \sum_{0}^{\infty} X_{-(m+1)}(x, y, z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} X_{m}(x, y, z)$$

where  $X_{m}$  and  $X_{m}$  retain their former meaning. If, on the contrary, we set

$$\frac{l^{z} - R_{1}^{2}}{4\pi R_{1}} \int_{a_{1}}^{a} \Phi \frac{d^{c}}{r^{3}} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} X_{-(m+1)}(x, y, z), 
\frac{R_{2}^{3} - l^{2}}{4\pi R_{2}} \int_{a_{1}}^{a} \Phi \frac{d^{c}}{r^{3}} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} X_{m}(x, y, z),$$
(40)

function  $\Phi$  given by expression (38') will also be represented by formula

$$\Phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{R_{2}^{2m+1} - l^{2m+4}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} X_{-(m+1)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{R_{2}^{2m+1}}{l^{2m+1}} \frac{l^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+4}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+4}} \dot{X}_{m}.$$
(38)

28

Let us note, finally, that function  $l\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial l}$  may be constructed directly by means of the values which this function assumes on  $\sigma$ , or it may be derived by performing operation  $l\frac{\partial}{\partial l}$  on expressions (38') or (38''). Comparing the series expansions of  $l\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial l}$  which are thus obtained, it is immediately found that

$$\frac{l^{2} - R_{1}^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi} \frac{d \sigma}{\iota^{3}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2m+1)(R_{1}R_{2})^{2m+1}l^{-2(m+1)}X_{m} - [(m+1)R_{2}^{2m+1} + mR_{1}^{2m+1}]X_{-m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}}, \qquad (41)$$

$$\frac{R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{\sigma_{2}}^{2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi} \frac{d \sigma}{\iota^{3}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(m+1)R_{1}^{2m+1} + mR_{2}^{2m+1}|X_{m} - (2m+1)l^{2m+1}X_{-m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}}$$

the values of X entering into this formula always being those which appear in expression (40). With the aid of expressions (40) and (41), it is easy to find analytical expressions for the function, harmonic in S, which assumes given values on one of the spherical surfaces, while on the other the normal derivative assumes given values.

4. Case in Which u, v, w, are Given on the Two Limiting Spheres. Let us call U, V, W the functions harmonic and regular in S which on  $\sigma$  acquire the respective values u, v, w, and let us note that

$$(l^{2}-R_{1}^{2})\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \zeta \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}} = x \left(l^{2}-R_{1}^{2}\right)\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}} + \left(l^{2}-R_{1}^{2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r},$$

$$\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2n}\left[l^{3}-\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n}R_{1}^{2}\right]\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \xi \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} = x\left[l^{2}-\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n}R_{1}^{2}\right]\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}} +$$

$$+\left[l^{2}-\left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{4n}R_{1}^{2}\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}},$$

$$\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{2n}\left[\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n}R_{1}^{2}-l^{2}\right]\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \xi \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}} = x\left[\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n}R_{1}^{2}-l^{2}\right]\int_{\sigma_{2}}^{\zeta} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

$$+\left[\left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{4n}R_{1}^{2}-l^{2}\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\zeta} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

$$(42)$$

where the unwritten formulas relate to the similar integrals extended over  $\sigma_2$  \_/ and to those in which  $\xi$  changes successively into  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$ . If, for purposes of brevity, we then set

$$4 \pi R_{1} \theta_{0} = (l^{2} - R_{1}^{2}) \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\sigma} \theta \frac{d \tau}{r^{3}}, \quad 4 \pi R_{1} \theta_{n} = \left[ l^{2} - \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma_{1}}^{\sigma} \theta \frac{d \tau}{\mathbf{r}_{2n}^{3}}, \quad (43)$$

$$4 \pi R_{1} \theta_{-n} = \left[ \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma} \theta \frac{d \tau}{\mathbf{r}_{2n-1}^{3}},$$

$$4 \pi R_{2} \theta'_{0} = (R_{2}^{2} - l^{2}) \int_{a_{2}} \beta \frac{d\sigma}{r^{3}}, \quad 4 \pi R_{2} \theta'_{n} = \left[ \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \int_{a_{2}} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}},$$

$$4 \pi R_{2} \theta'_{-n} = \left[ l^{2} - \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{4n} R_{2}^{2} \right] \int_{a_{1}} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{3}},$$

$$4 \pi R_{1} \varphi_{0} = \int_{a_{1}} \beta \frac{d\sigma}{r}, \quad 4 \pi R_{1} \varphi_{n} = \int_{a_{1}} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}}, \quad 4 \pi R_{1} \varphi_{-n} = \int_{a_{1}} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

$$4 \pi R_{2} \varphi'_{0} = \int_{a_{2}} \delta \frac{d\sigma}{r}, \quad 4 \pi R_{2} \varphi'_{n} = \int_{a_{2}} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}}, \quad 4 \pi R_{1} \varphi'_{-n} = \int_{a_{2}} \delta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

$$4 \pi R_{2} \varphi'_{0} = \int_{a_{2}} \delta \frac{d\sigma}{r}, \quad 4 \pi R_{2} \varphi'_{n} = \int_{a_{2}} \theta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n}^{2}}, \quad 4 \pi R_{1} \varphi'_{-n} = \int_{a_{2}} \delta \frac{d\sigma}{r_{2n-1}^{2}},$$

the usual formulas (5) and similar ones in report I will in the present case give us

$$u = U - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \mu} x \theta + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \mu} \left\{ x \theta + (l^{2} - R_{1}^{2}) \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x} + \frac{2}{2 \mu} \left\{ x \theta + (l^{2} - R_{1}^{2}) \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x} + \frac{2}{2 \mu} \left[ x \theta_{n} + \left[ l^{2} - \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} \right] \frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{2}{2} \left[ \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right]^{n} \left[ x \theta_{-n} + \left[ \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{4n} R_{1}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \frac{\partial \varphi_{-n}}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{2}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial x} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{n} \left[ x \theta_{n} + \left[ \left( \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right)^{n} R_{2}^{2} - l^{2} \right] \frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial x} \right] - \frac{2}{2} \left[ \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \right]^{n} \left[ x \theta_{-n} + \left[ l^{2} - \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{4n} R_{2}^{2} \right] \frac{\partial \varphi_{-n}}{\partial x} \right] \right\} (44)$$

The attempt must now be made to determine the unknown functions  $\theta_0$ ,  $\theta_n$ ,  $\theta_{-n}$ ;  $\theta_0'$ ,  $\theta_{-n}'$ ,  $\theta_{-n}'$ ,  $\theta_{-n}'$ ,  $\theta_{-n}'$ ,  $\theta_0'$ , ..., so that: (1) the series which appear on the right sides of expressions (44) will be convergent in S and will tend on  $\sigma$  toward finite values; (2) each of these series will be harmonic and regular in S; (3) between  $\theta$  with the different subscripts and the corresponding values of  $\phi$  the following relationships hold true; (4) the  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  with subscripts different from zero will be linked with  $\phi_0(x, y, z)$  and  $\phi'_0(x, y, z)$  by the following relationships:

$$-\theta_{0} = 2l\frac{\partial\varphi_{0}}{\partial l} + \varphi_{0}, \quad -\varphi_{n} = 2l\frac{\partial\varphi_{n}}{\partial l} + \varphi_{n}, \quad \theta_{-n} = 2l\frac{\partial\varphi_{-n}}{\partial l} + \varphi_{n}, \quad \theta_{-n} = 2l\frac{\partial\varphi_{-n}}{\partial l} + \varphi_{n}, \quad \theta_{-n} = 2l\frac{\partial\varphi_{-n}}{\partial l} + \varphi_{-n}, \quad \theta_{-n} = 2l\frac{\partial\varphi_{-n}}{$$

<sup>\*</sup> It is useful for the following discussion to bear in mind the fact that the quantity in brackets f is an expression of the function which is harmonic and regular in S which assumes values  $x\theta$  on  $\sigma$ .

$$\varphi_{n}(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \varphi_{0} \left[ \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \right], 
\varphi_{n}(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \frac{R_{1}^{2} x}{l^{2}}, \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \frac{R_{1}^{2} y}{l^{2}}, \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \frac{R_{1}^{2} z}{l^{2}}\right], 
\varphi_{n}'(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \varphi_{n}' \left[ \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \right], 
\varphi_{n}'(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}} \varphi_{n}' \left[ \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \left(\frac{R_{2}}{R_{2}}\right)^{\epsilon_{n}}, \right]$$

$$(45^{\circ})$$

(5) finally, the following equation will be identically satisfied:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} = \frac{3\lambda + 5u}{2\mu} \theta + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\mu} l \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l} - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\mu} \left\{ 3\theta_0 + l \frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial l} + 2l \frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial l} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right)^n \left( 3\theta_n + l \frac{\partial \theta_n}{\partial l} + 2l \frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial l} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^n \left( 3\theta_{-n} + l \frac{\partial \theta_{-n}}{\partial l} - 2l \frac{\partial \varphi_{-n}}{\partial l} \right) + \frac{2}{3\theta_0} \left\{ \frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial l} - 2l \frac{\partial \varphi_0'}{\partial l} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^n \left( 3\theta_n + l \frac{\partial \theta_0'}{\partial l} - 2l \frac{\partial \varphi_n'}{\partial l} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right)^n \left( 3\theta_{-n}' + l \frac{\partial \theta_{-n}'}{\partial l} + 2l \frac{\partial \varphi_{-n}'}{\partial l} \right) \right\}.$$

It is easily verified that under these conditions expressions (44) represent the solution of our problem.

To achieve our purpose, let us observe that, since  $\phi_0$  must be a function which is harmonic and regular outside the sphere of radius  $R_1,$  it may always be represented with a series of form

 $\gamma_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} X_{-(m+1)}, \tag{47}$ 

Since  $\phi'_0$  must be harmonic and regular inside the sphere of radius  $R_2$ , it may

be represented by a series of form

$$\varphi'_0 = \sum_{0}^{\infty} X_m, \tag{47'}$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_{-(m+1)}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{m}$  are solid spherical harmonic functions, the first of neg-

ative order -(m + 1) and the second of positive order m to be determined in such a way that the preceding conditions are satisfied. We will then have

$$\varphi_{n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{2nm} X_{-(m+1)}, \quad \varphi_{-n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{2n(m+1)} \left( \frac{l}{R_{1}} \right)^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)},$$

$$\varphi'_{n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{2n(m+1)} X_{m}, \quad \varphi'_{-n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{2nm} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right)^{2m+1} X_{m};$$

$$\theta_{0} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( 2m + 1 \right) X_{-(m+1)}, \quad \theta_{n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( 2m + 1 \right) \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{2nm} \dot{X}_{-(m+1)},$$

$$\theta_{-n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( 2m + 1 \right) \left( \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \right)^{2n(m+1)} \left( \frac{l}{R_{1}} \right)^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)};$$

$$(47'')$$

$$\theta'_{0} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m (2m+1) X_{m}, \quad \theta'_{n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m (2m+1) \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2n(m+1)} X_{m},$$

$$\theta'_{-n} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m (2m+1) \left(\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right)^{2nm} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+1} X_{m},$$
(47")

<u>/5</u>0

Noting that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(lX_{-1})=0$$
 or  $\frac{\partial X_{-1}}{\partial x}=-\frac{x}{l!}X_{-1}$ ,

for which the terms which contain  $X_{-1}$  in  $x\theta_n + l^2 \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial \phi_{-n}}{\partial x}$  are zero, we have

 $u = U - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \mu} x \theta + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2 \mu} \left\{ x \frac{R_{2}^{s} - l^{3}}{R_{2}^{s} - R_{1}^{s}} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{l} \right)^{s} X_{-1} + \right.$   $+ x \sum_{1}^{\infty} m \left( 2m + 1 \right) \frac{R_{2}^{sm-1} - l^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{sm-1} - R_{1}^{sm-1}} X_{-(m+1)} +$   $+ \sum_{1}^{\infty} n \left[ l^{s} \frac{R_{2}^{sm-1} - l^{sm-1}}{R_{2}^{sm-1} - R_{1}^{sm-1}} - R_{1}^{s} \frac{R_{2}^{sm+3} - l^{sm+3}}{R_{2}^{sm+3} - R_{1}^{sm+3}} \right] \frac{\hat{\sigma} X_{-(m+1)}}{\hat{\sigma} x} +$   $+ x \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( 2m + 1 \right) \frac{l^{sm+3} - R_{1}^{sm+3}}{R_{2}^{sm+3} - R_{1}^{sm+3}} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right)^{2m+3} X_{m} +$   $+ \sum_{1}^{\infty} m \left[ l^{s} \frac{l^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{sm-1}}{R_{2}^{sm-1} - R_{1}^{sm-1}} - R_{2}^{s} \frac{l^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{sm+3}}{R_{2}^{sm+3} - R_{1}^{sm+3}} \right] \left( \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right)^{2m+4} \frac{\hat{\sigma} X_{m}}{\hat{\sigma} x} \right\},$   $6 = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( 2m + 1 \right) \frac{R_{2}^{sm+4} - l^{2m+4}}{R_{2}^{sm+4} - R_{1}^{sm+4}} X_{-(m+4)} +$   $+ \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left( 2m + 1 \right) \frac{l^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{sm+4}}{R_{2}^{sm+4} - R_{1}^{sm+4}} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right)^{2m+4} X_{m},$  (48)

We now assume

$$U = \sum_{0}^{\infty} W_{m} + \sum_{0}^{\infty} W_{-(m+1)},$$

$$V = \sum_{0}^{\infty} V_{m} + \sum_{0}^{\infty} V_{-(m+1)},$$

$$W = \sum_{0}^{\infty} W_{m} + \sum_{0}^{\infty} W_{-(m+1)},$$

$$(49)$$

where  $U_m$ ,  $U_{-(m+1)}$ , ... may be assumed to be known. Let us substitute into expression (46) the preceding values of U, V, W and the values of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  given by expressions (47), (47'), and (47") and let us in expression (46) set the terms of degree m equal to those of degree -(m+1).

Assuming also

$$\Theta_{m} = \frac{\partial U_{m+1}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V_{m+1}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W_{m+1}}{\partial z}, \quad \Theta_{-(m+1)} = \frac{\partial U_{-m}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_{-m}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W_{-m}}{\partial z},$$

we will have the equations

/51

$$\Theta_{0} = X_{0}, \quad X_{-1} = \frac{R_{1}}{l} X_{0},$$

$$2\mu \Theta_{m} =$$

$$= l^{1m+1} X_{-(m+1)} \left\{ \frac{(m+1)(2m+3) R_{1}^{2}(\lambda+\mu) - (2m+1)[(m+3)\lambda + (m+5) \cdot]}{R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}} \right\}$$

$$- R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m} \left\{ \frac{(m+1)(2m+3) R_{2}^{2}(\lambda+\mu) - (2m+1)[(m+3)\lambda + (m+5)\mu]}{R_{2}^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+4}} \right\},$$

$$= l^{2m+1} X_{m} \left\{ \frac{l}{R_{2}} \right\}^{2m+3} - \frac{l^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+4}} \right\}$$

$$= l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} \left\{ \frac{m(2m-1)(\lambda+\mu) - (2m+1)[(m-2)\lambda + (m-4)\mu]}{R_{2}^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+4}} \right\}$$

$$- R_{1}^{2m+4} X_{m} \left\{ \frac{m(2m-1)(\lambda+\mu) - (2m+1)[(m-2)\lambda + (m-4)\mu]}{R_{1}^{2}(R_{2}^{2m-4} - R_{1}^{2m-4})} \right\},$$

$$= l^{2m+1} X_{m} \left\{ \frac{m(2m-1)(\lambda+\mu) - (2m+1)[(m-2)\lambda + (m-4)\mu]}{R_{1}^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+4}} \right\},$$

in which subscript m ranges from 1 to  $\infty$ . Solving the preceding equations for  $X_{-(m+1)}$  and  $X_m$ , we find

$$X_{\bullet} = \Theta_{\bullet}, \quad X_{-1} = \frac{R_{\bullet}}{l} \Theta_{\bullet}$$

$$\Delta X_{-(m+1)} =$$

$$= 2\mu \left\{ \frac{m(2m-1)(\lambda+\nu)}{R_{1}^{2}(R_{1}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1})} - \frac{(2m+1)[(m-2)\lambda+(m-4)\nu]}{R_{2}^{2m+1}-R_{1}^{2m+1}} \right\} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{l} \right)^{2m+1} \Theta_{m} -$$

$$-2\mu \left\{ \frac{(m+1)(2m+3)R_{2}^{2}(\lambda+\nu)}{R_{2}^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m+3}} - \frac{(2m+1)[(m+3)\lambda+(m+5)\nu]}{R_{2}^{2m+1}-R_{1}^{2m+1}} \right\} \Theta_{-(m+1)},$$

$$\Delta X_{m} = 2\mu \left\{ \frac{m(2m-1)(\lambda+\nu)}{R_{2}^{2}(R_{2}^{2}(R_{2}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}))} - \frac{(2m+1)[(m-2)\lambda+(m-4)\nu]}{R_{2}^{2m+1}-R_{1}^{2m+1}} \right\} \Theta_{m} -$$

$$-2\mu \left\{ \frac{(m+1)(2m+3)R_{1}^{2}(\lambda+\nu)}{R_{2}^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m-1}} - \frac{(2m+1)[(m+3)\lambda+(\nu+5)\nu]}{R_{2}^{2m+4}-R_{1}^{2m+4}} \right\} \left( \frac{l}{R_{2}} \right)^{2m+1} \Theta_{-(m+1)},$$

$$\Delta = (R_{2}^{2m+4}-R_{1}^{2m+1}) \left\{ - \frac{m(m+1)(2m-1)(2m+3)(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}{(R_{2}^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1})} + \frac{(2m+1)^{2}[m(m+1)(\lambda+\mu)^{2}+4\mu(\lambda+2\mu)]}{(R_{2}^{2m+1}-R_{1}^{2m+1})^{2}} \right\}.$$

Since  $\mu$  ( $\lambda$  +  $2\mu$ ) > 0,  $\Delta$  never becomes zero for any whole and positive value of m or for any value of  $R_1$  such that 0 <  $R_1$  <  $R_2$ .\* This shows that the  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> It is somewhat difficult to prove this assertion. The goal may be reached by the following line of reasoning. (Continued on following page).

problem always has one solution and one only. To convince ourselves of the convergence of the series which appear in our formulas in region S, it is sufficient to compare them to the series

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_{1}}{l}\right)^{2m+1} \Theta_{m}, \quad \sum_{0}^{\infty} \Theta_{-(m+1)}$$

which under the assumptions converge in absolute and uniform fashion between  $\mathcal{I} > R_1$ , or to the series

$$\sum_{0}^{\infty} \Theta_{m}, \qquad \sum_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{l}{R_{2}}\right)^{2m+1} \Theta_{m(m+1)}$$

/53

which converge absolutely and uniformly for l <  $R_2$ .

This comparison may be made without difficulty. Finally, the various

## \* (Continued)

To show that  $\Delta$  never becomes zero under the conditions indicated, it is sufficient to demonstrate the fact that this property is possessed by the expression

$$-(R_{\frac{2}{3}}^{2m+1}-R_{1}^{2m+1})^{2} m (m+1) (2 m-1) (2 m+3) (\lambda+\mu)^{2} + \\ +(2 m+1)^{2} [m (m+1) (\lambda+\mu)^{2}+4 \mu (\lambda+2 \mu)] (R_{\frac{2}{3}}^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m+3}) (R_{\frac{2}{3}}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}).$$
 (a)

Expression (a) for  $R_1 = R_2$  becomes zero, while for  $R_1 = 0$  it is greater than zero. It is therefore sufficient to show that expression (a) decreases continuously as  $R_1$  increases from zero to  $R_2$ , or that the derivative with respect to  $R_1$  is always negative, whatever m may be, and for  $0 < R_1 < R_2$ . This derivative is given by

$$(2 m + 1) R_1^{2m-2} (2 R_1^2 (R_2^{2m+1} - R_1^{2m+1}) m (m + 1) (2 m - 1) (2 m + 3) (\lambda + \mu)^2 - (2 m + 1) [m (m + 1) (\lambda + \mu)^2 + (2 m + 3) R_1^4 (R_2^{2m-1} - R_1^{2m-1}) + (2 m - 1) (R_2^{2m+3} - R_1^{2m+3})].$$
(b)

The quantity in brackets  $\{\ \}$ , when we set  $R_2 = R_1^{e\tilde{\omega}}$ , except for  $R_1^{2m+3}$ , may be written

$$2 \left(e^{(2m+1)\tilde{\omega}} - 1\right) m \left(m+1\right) \left(2m-1\right) \left(2m+3\right) \left(\lambda+\mu\right)^{2} - \left(2m+1\right) \left[m \left(m+1\right) \left(\lambda+\mu\right)^{2} + 4 \mu \left(\lambda+2\mu\right)\right] \left[\left(2m+3\right) \left(e^{(2m+1)\tilde{\omega}} - 1\right) + \left(2m-1\right) \left(e^{(2m+3)\tilde{\omega}} - 1\right)\right]$$

and expanding the exponentials in series, except for factor (2m - 1) (2m + 1) (2m + 3), we may also write

$$-\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{5^{\nu}}{\left[\frac{\nu}{2}\left(m(m+1)(\lambda+\mu)^{2}\left[(2m+3)^{\nu-1}+(2m-1)^{\nu-1}-2(2m+1)^{\nu-1}\right]+\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$$
$$\left.+4\mu(\lambda+2\mu)\left[(2m+3)^{\nu-1}+(2m-1)^{\nu-1}\right]!$$

Since

$$(2m+3)^{\nu-1} + (2m-1)^{\nu-1} - 2(2m+1)^{\nu-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} {\nu-1 \choose i} (2m)^{i} [3^{\nu-1-i} + (-1)^{\nu-1-i} - 2] > 0,$$

this formula completely proves our assertion.

terms on the right sides of expressions (44) and (48) will converge on  $\sigma$  to finite limits if the second derivatives of series (49) possess this property, which may certainly be brought about by assuming that the values of u, v, w given on  $\sigma$  admit derivatives, of the first order at least, with respect to the two parameters which specify the points in  $\sigma$ .

5. Case in Which L, M, N are Given on the Two Limiting Spheres. Let us start from the equations

$$\Delta^{2}\left(l\frac{\partial u}{\partial l}\right) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(l\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l} + \theta\right) = 0, \dots$$

$$l\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l} + \theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(l\frac{\partial u}{\partial l}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(l\frac{\partial v}{\partial l}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(l\frac{\partial w}{\partial l}\right)$$
(52)

and write the usual basic formulas for these equations, still retaining for a moment Green's function  ${\tt G}$ 

$$l\frac{\partial u}{\partial l} = \frac{R_{t}}{4\pi} \int_{\sigma_{t}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{dG}{dn} d\sigma + \frac{R_{z}}{4\pi} \int_{\sigma_{t}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{dG}{dn} d\sigma - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\mu} x \left( l\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l} + 5 \right) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi\mu} \int_{\sigma} \xi \left( z\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} + 5 \right) \frac{dG}{dn} d\sigma,$$
(53)

We should remember that the surface conditions are now

on 
$$\sigma_1$$

$$-L = \lambda \theta \frac{x}{R_1} + 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial l} + \mathbf{z}_3 \frac{y}{R_1} - \mathbf{z}_2 \frac{z}{R_1} \right), \dots$$
on  $\sigma_2$ 

$$L = \lambda \theta \frac{x}{R_2} + 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial l} + \mathbf{z}_3 \frac{y}{R_2} - \mathbf{z}_2 \frac{z}{R_2} \right), \dots$$
(54)

and we shall call  $\mathfrak{L}$ ;  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}$  the functions which are harmonic and regular in S, which on  $\sigma_1$  assume the values of L, M, N given on this surface and multiplied by  $-R_1$ , and on  $\sigma_2$  the values of L, M, N given on  $\sigma_2$  and multiplied by  $R_2$ . Expressions (53) may then be written

$$l\frac{\partial u}{\partial l} = \frac{\varrho}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{8\pi\mu} \int \left[\lambda \theta \xi + 2\mu \left(\varpi_3 \eta - \varpi_2 \xi\right)\right] \frac{dG}{dn} d\sigma - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\mu} x \left(l\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l} + \theta\right) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{8\pi\mu} \int \xi \left(\varepsilon \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \varrho} + \varepsilon\right) \frac{dG}{dn} d\sigma,$$
(53')

and it is a question first of determining  $\theta$ ;  $\overline{\omega}_1$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_2$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_3$  so that the equations

35

are identically satisfied.

Therefore we set

$$\theta = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left(2 m + 1\right) \frac{R_{2}^{2m+1} - l^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} X_{-(m+i)} +$$

$$+ \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left(2 m + 1\right) \frac{l^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+1} X_{m},$$

$$\varpi_{i} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m \left(2 m + 1\right) \frac{R_{2}^{2m+1} - l^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} Y_{i,-(m+i)} +$$

$$+ \sum_{0}^{\infty} n \left(2 m + 1\right) \frac{l^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+1} Y_{i,m} \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

$$(56)$$

Based on the results of the preceding section, we will then have

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \left[\lambda \, \theta \, \xi + 2 \, \mu \left( \varpi_{3} \, \eta - \varpi_{2} \, \xi \right) \right] \frac{d \, G}{d \, n} \, d \, \sigma =$$

$$= \frac{R_{2}^{3} - l^{3}}{R_{2}^{3} - R_{1}^{3}} \left( \frac{R_{1}}{l} \right)^{2} \left[\lambda \, x \, X_{-1} + 2 \, \mu \left( y \, Y_{3,-1} - z \, Y_{2,-1} \right) \right] +$$

$$+ \sum_{1}^{\infty} m \left( 2 \, m + 1 \right) \frac{R_{2}^{2m-1} - l^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m-1}} \left[ \lambda \, x \, X_{-(m+1)} + 2 \, \mu \left( y \, Y_{3,-(m+1)} - z \, Y_{2,-(m+1)} \right) \right] +$$

$$+ \sum_{1}^{\infty} m \left[ l^{2} \frac{R_{2}^{2m-1} - l^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2}} - R_{1}^{2} \frac{R_{2}^{2m+3} - l^{2m+3}}{R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}} \right] \left[ \lambda \, \frac{\partial \, X_{-(m+1)}}{\partial \, x} +$$

$$+ 2 \, \mu \left( \frac{\partial \, Y_{3,-(m+1)}}{\partial \, y} - \frac{\partial \, Y_{2,-(m+1)}}{\partial \, z} \right) \right] +$$
(57)

$$+\sum_{0}^{\infty} m(2m+1) \frac{l^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}}{R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+3} \left[\lambda x X_{m} + 2\mu \left(y Y_{2jm} - z Y_{2jm}\right)\right] + \\ +\sum_{1}^{\infty} m \left[l^{2} \frac{l^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+4}}{R_{2}^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}}\right] \left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+4} \left[\lambda \frac{\partial X_{m}}{\partial x} + \\ +2\mu \left(\frac{\partial Y_{3m}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Y_{2jm}}{\partial z}\right)\right].$$

Let us then remember that, since

$$(\lambda + 2 \mu) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_2}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \sigma_3}{\partial y} \right) = 0, \dots$$

must be true, the following relationships will hold:

$$2 \mu R_{1}(zY_{2,0} - yY_{3,0}) - 2 \mu l(zY_{2,-1} - yY_{3,-1}) =$$

$$= (\lambda + 2 \mu) x(lX_{-1} - R_{1}X_{0}); \quad \frac{\partial Y_{i,-1}}{\partial x} = -\frac{x}{l^{2}}Y_{i,-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$(R_{2}^{lm+1} - R_{1}^{lm+1}) \left[ \lambda \frac{\partial X_{-(m+1)}}{\partial x} + 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial Y_{3,-(m+1)}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Y_{2,-(m+1)}}{\partial z} \right) \right] =$$

$$= 2 (\lambda + \mu) \left[ (R_{2}^{lm+1} - R_{1}^{lm+1}) \frac{\partial X_{-(m+1)}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial X_{-(m+1)}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial X_{-(m+1)}}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$+ (2 m + 1) \left( \frac{R_1}{l} \right)^{2m+1} \frac{x}{l^2} (R_2^{2m+1} X_m - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) - \frac{(2 m + 1) \left( \frac{R_1}{l^{2m+3}} \left[ \lambda x X_m + 2 \mu (y Y_{3,m} - z Y_{2,m}) \right] + \frac{(2 m + 1) \frac{R_1^{2m+4}}{l^2} \left[ \lambda x X_{-(m+1)} + 2 \mu (y Y_{3,-(m+1)} - z Y_{2,-(m+1)}) \right], \\ (R_2^{2m+1} - R_1^{2m+1}) \left[ \lambda \frac{\partial X_m}{\partial x} + 2 \mu \left( \frac{\partial Y_{3,m}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Y_{2,m}}{\partial z} \right) \right] = \\ = 2 (\lambda + \mu) \left[ (R_2^{2m+1} - R_1^{2m+1}) \frac{\partial X_m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial X_m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial X_m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial X_m}{l^2} \left[ (R_1^{2m+1} X_m - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) \right] - \frac{\partial X_m}{l^2} + \frac{\partial X_m}{l^2} \left[ \lambda x X_m + 2 \mu (y Y_{3,m} - z Y_{2,m}) \right] + \\ + (2 m + 1) \frac{R_1^{2m+1}}{l^2} \left[ \lambda x X_m + 2 \mu (y Y_{3,m} - z Y_{2,m}) \right] + \\ + (2 m + 1) l^{2m-1} \left[ \lambda x X_{-(m+1)} + 2 \mu (y Y_{3,-(m+1)} - z Y_{2,-(m+1)}) \right], \\ m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

and the others which are derived from these by cyclic permutations of x, y, z and the subscripts 1, 2, 3. By means of these relationships, expression (57) may be readily written in the form

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{6}^{\infty} \left[ 2.6 \, \xi + 2 \, \mu \left( \varpi_{3} \, \eta - \varpi_{2} \, \xi \right) \right] \frac{d \, G}{d \, n} \, d \, \sigma = \lambda \, \theta \, x + 2 \, \mu \left( \varpi_{3} \, y - \varpi_{2} \, z \right) + \\
+ 2 \left( \lambda + \mu \right) \frac{x}{R_{1}} \left[ \frac{l^{3} - R_{1}^{2}}{R_{2}^{3} - R_{1}^{3}} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right)^{2} - \frac{l - R_{1}}{R_{2} - R_{1}} \right] \frac{R_{2}}{l} \left( -l \, X_{-1} + R_{1} \, X_{0} \right) + \\
+ 2 \left( \lambda + \mu \right) \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ l^{2} \frac{R_{2}^{2m-1} - l^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m-1}} - \right. \\
- R_{1}^{2} \frac{R_{2}^{2m+3} - l^{2m+3}}{R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}} \right] \left[ \left( R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1} \right) \frac{\partial X_{-(m+1)}}{\partial x} + \right. \\
+ \left. \left( 2 \, m + 1 \right) x \frac{R_{1}^{2m+4}}{l^{2m+4}} \left( R_{2}^{2m+1} \, X_{m} - l^{2m+4} \, X_{-(m+1)} \right) \right] + \\
+ 2 \left( \lambda + \mu \right) \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{R_{2}^{2m+4}}{\left( R_{2}^{2m+4} - R_{1}^{2m+4} \right) l^{2m+4}} \left[ l^{2} \frac{l^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m-1}} - \right. \\
- R_{2}^{2} \frac{l^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}}{R_{2}^{2m+3}} \right] \left[ \left( R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1} \right) \frac{\partial X_{m}}{\partial x} + \right. \\
+ \left. \left( 2 \, m + 1 \right) \frac{x}{l^{2}} \left( R_{1}^{2m+1} \, X_{m} - l^{2m+1} \, X_{-(m+1)} \right) \right],$$

and the other two similar relationships are derived by cyclic permutation of  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$ ; x, y, z;  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ ,  $\omega_3$ .

The last terms in expression (53') are also easily formulated when we note that from the identity

$$l\frac{\partial^{0}}{\partial l} + \theta = -\sum_{0}^{\infty} m(2m+1) \frac{m R_{2}^{2m+1} + (m+1) l^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} X_{-(m+1)} + \\ + \sum_{0}^{\infty} m(2m+1) \frac{(m+1) l^{2m+1} + m R_{1}^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+1} X_{m} = \\ = \sum_{0}^{\infty} m(2m+1) \frac{R_{2}^{2m+1} - l^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} X'_{-(m+1)} + \\ + \sum_{0}^{\infty} m(2m+1) \frac{l^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+1} X'_{m},$$

$$(59)$$

we obtain

$$(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) X'_{-(m+1)} =$$

$$= -\left[m R_{2}^{2m+1} + (m+1) R_{1}^{2m+1}\right] X_{-(m+1)} + (2m+1) \left(\frac{R_{1} R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+1} X_{m},$$

$$(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) X'_{m} = -(2m+1) l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} +$$

$$+ \left[m R_{1}^{2m+1} + (m+1) R_{2}^{2m+1}\right] X_{m}.$$

$$(59)^{\dagger}$$

Therefore, applying the results of the preceding paragraph to the integrals:  $\frac{1}{4\pi}\int \xi \left(\rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \rho} + \theta\right) \frac{dG}{dn} \, d\sigma, \dots$ 

and substituting into (53) the expressions relative to (57') and similar ones, we find  $l\frac{\partial}{\partial l} u = \frac{\varrho}{2u} - \frac{\lambda}{2u}\theta x - \sigma_{3}y + \sigma_{i}z - \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2u}x \left(l\frac{\partial}{\partial l}\theta + \theta\right) + \frac{1}{2u} \left(l\frac{\partial}{\partial l}\theta + \theta\right) + \frac{1$ 

$$+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2\mu}x^{2}\frac{-lX_{-1}+R_{2}X_{0}}{R_{2}-R_{1}} - \frac{\lambda+\mu}{\mu}\frac{x}{R_{1}}\left[\frac{l^{2}-R_{1}^{3}}{R_{2}^{3}-R_{1}^{3}}\left(\frac{R_{2}^{2}}{l}\right)^{2} - \frac{l-R_{1}}{R_{2}-R_{1}}\right]\frac{R_{2}}{l}\left(-lX_{-1}+R_{1}X_{0}\right) + \\
+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2\mu}x\sum_{1}^{\infty}m\left(2m+1\right)\left[\frac{R_{2}^{2m-1}-l^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}}X'_{-(m+1)} + \frac{l^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m+3}}{R_{2}^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m+3}}\left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+3}X'_{m}\right] + \\
+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2\mu}\sum_{1}^{\infty}m\left[l^{2}\frac{R_{2}^{2m-1}-l^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}}-R_{1}^{2}\frac{R_{2}^{2m+3}-l^{2m+3}}{R_{2}^{2m+3}-l^{2m+3}}\right]\left[\frac{\partial X'_{-(m+1)}-l^{2m-1}}{\partial x} - 2\frac{(2m+1)R_{1}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}}{(R_{2}^{2m+1}-R_{1}^{2m+1})l^{2m+3}}\left(R_{2}^{2m+1}X_{m}-l^{2m+1}X_{-(m+1)}\right)\right] + \\
+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2\mu}\sum_{1}^{\infty}m\left[l^{2}\frac{l^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}}{R_{2}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}}-R_{2}^{2}\frac{l^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m+3}}{R_{2}^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m+3}}\right]\left(\frac{R_{2}}{l}\right)^{2m+1}\left[\frac{\partial X'_{m}-l^{2m-1}}{\partial x}-2\frac{\partial X_{m}}{(R_{2}^{2m+1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}-R_{1}^{2m-1}-R_{2}^{2m+3}-R_{1}^{2m+3})}\right],$$

The other two formulas for v and  $\underline{w}$  are deduced from that relative to u by cyclical interchange of  $\{v, w, w; \overline{w}_1, \overline{w}_2, \overline{w}_3; x, y, z\}$ .

Now substituting expression (53") into the first of expressions (55) and  $\frac{58}{4}$  utilizing the quoted relationships between  $\theta$ ;  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ ,  $\omega_3$ , we find:

$$\frac{\partial \, \ell}{\partial \, x} + \frac{\partial \, \mathfrak{M}}{\partial \, y} + \frac{\partial \, \mathfrak{N}}{\partial \, z} = (\lambda + \mu) \, l \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \, l} \left( l \, \frac{\partial \, \ell}{\partial \, l} + \ell \right) + \\
+ 3 \, (\lambda + \mu) \left( l \, \frac{\partial \, \ell}{\partial \, l} + \ell \right) + (3 \, \lambda + 2 \, \mu) \, \ell + \\
+ (\lambda + \mu) \left[ \frac{6 \, R_{2}^{2}}{R_{2}^{3} - R_{1}^{3}} - \frac{9 \, l - 4 \, R_{1}}{(R_{2} - R_{1}) \, l} \right] R_{2} \, X_{0} - \\
- (\lambda + \mu) \left[ \frac{6 \, R_{2}^{2}}{R_{2}^{3} - R_{1}^{3}} - \frac{3 \, (2 \, R_{2} + R_{1}) \, l - 4 \, R_{1} \, R_{2}}{(R_{2} - R_{1}) \, l} \right] \frac{l}{R_{1}} \, X_{-1} + \\
+ (\lambda + \mu) \sum_{1}^{\infty} \left[ \left[ \frac{m(2m - 1) R_{2}^{2m - 1}}{R_{2}^{2m - 1} - R_{1}^{2m - 1}} + \frac{(m + 1)(2m + 3) R_{1}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2m + 3} - R_{1}^{2m + 4}} \right] X'_{-(m + 1)} - \\
- \left[ \frac{m(2m - 1) R_{1}^{2m - 1}}{(R_{2}^{2m - 1} - R_{1}^{2m - 1}) l^{2m + 1}} + \frac{(m + 1)(2m + 3) R_{2}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2m + 3} - R_{1}^{2m + 4}} \right] R_{2}^{2m + 1} X'_{m} \right\} - \\
- 2(\lambda + \mu) \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{X_{-(m + 1)}}{R_{2}^{2m - 1} - R_{1}^{2m - 1}} \left\{ R_{2}^{2m - 1} \left[ 2(m + 1) R_{2}^{2} + \right] + \frac{m(2m - 1) R_{1}^{2m - 1}}{R_{2}^{2m - 1}} \left[ R_{2}^{2m - 1} - R_{1}^{2m - 1} \right] - l^{2m + 1} \left[ 2m + \frac{(m + 1)(2m + 3) R_{2}^{2m + 1}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m - 1} - R_{1}^{2m - 1}} \right] \right\} + \\
+ \frac{m(2m - 1) R_{2}^{2m - 1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m - 1} - R_{1}^{2m - 1}} - l^{2m + 1} \left[ 2m + \frac{(m + 1)(2m + 3) R_{1}^{2m + 1}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m + 3} - R_{1}^{2m + 4}} \right] \right\}.$$

If then we set

$$\mathfrak{L} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_{m} + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{L}_{-(m+1)}, \quad \mathfrak{M} = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{m} + \sum_{0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{-(m+1)}, \\
\mathfrak{M} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{m} + \sum_{0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_{-(m+1)}, \\
\mathfrak{S}_{m} = \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_{m+1}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}_{m+1}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}_{m+1}}{\partial z}, \\
\mathfrak{S}_{-(m+1)} = \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_{-m}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}_{-m}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}_{-m}}{\partial z}$$
(61)

and in equation (60) set the terms of degree m equal to those of degree -(m+1)/59 we obtain

$$\Theta_{0} = (6 \lambda + 5 \mu) \frac{-lX_{-1} + R_{2}X_{0}}{R_{2} - R_{1}} + \frac{1}{R_{2} - R_{1}} + \frac{1}{R_{2} - R_{1}} \left( \frac{2 R_{2}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{3}} - \frac{3}{R_{2} - R_{1}} \right) R_{2} X_{0} - \frac{1}{R_{2} - R_{1}^{3}} \left( \frac{2 R_{2}^{2}}{R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{3}} - \frac{2 R_{2} + R_{1}}{R_{2} - R_{1}} \right) \frac{l}{R_{1}} X_{-1},$$

$$O = (\lambda + 2 \mu) \frac{R_{2}}{(R_{2} - R_{1})} l(R_{1} X_{0} - l X_{-1}),$$

$$(62)$$

$$(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) \Theta_{m} = -\left[2 m (m-1) (\lambda + \mu) + \right. \\ + (2 m + 1) (3 \lambda + 2 \mu)\right] (l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} - R_{2}^{2m+1} X_{m}) + \\ + (\lambda + \mu) \frac{(m+1)(m+2)(2m+3) R_{2}^{2m+1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}} (l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} - R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m}), \\ (R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) \left(\frac{l}{R_{2}}\right)^{2m+1} \Theta_{-(m+1)} = \left[-2 (m+1)(m+2) (\lambda + \mu) + \right. \\ + (2 m + 1) (3 \lambda + 2 \mu)\right] (l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} - R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m}) + \\ + (\lambda + \mu) \frac{m(m-1)(2m-1) R_{1}^{2m-1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{(R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m-1}) R_{2}^{2}} (l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} - R_{2}^{2m+1} X_{m}).$$

$$(62)$$

The second of these equations requires that

$$R_1 X_0 - l X_{-1} = 0$$

and then from the first equation (58) and similar ones, we also find that

$$R_i Y_{i,0} - l Y_{i,-1} = 0, i = 1, 2, 3.$$

This result shows that the terms of degree -1 in  $\theta$ ;  $\bar{\omega}_1$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_2$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_3$  are identically zero.

Moreover, the first expression (62) gives us

$$X_0 = \frac{\Theta_0}{3\lambda + 2\mu}.$$
 (63)

Finally, the last two equations (62) give us

<u>/60</u>

$$\Delta X_{m} = \left[ 2 (m+1) (m+2) (\lambda + \mu) - (2 m+1) (3 \lambda + 2 \mu) - \right. \\
- (\lambda + \mu) \frac{m (m-1) (2 m-1) R_{1}^{2m-1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{(R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m-1}) R_{2}^{2}} \right] \Theta_{m} - \\
- \left[ 2 m (m-1) (\lambda + \mu) + (2 m+1) (3 \lambda + 2 \mu) - \right. \\
- (\lambda + \mu) \frac{(m+1) (m+2) (2 m+3) R_{2}^{2m+1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m+2} - R_{1}^{2m+2}} \right] \left( \frac{l}{R_{2}} \right)^{2m+1} \Theta_{-(m+1)}, \\
\Delta X_{-(m+1)} = \left[ 2 (m+1) (m+2) (\lambda + \mu) - (2 m+1) (3 \lambda + 2 \mu) - \right. \\
- (\lambda + \mu) \frac{m (m-1) (2 m-1) R_{2}^{2m-1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{(R_{2}^{2m-3} - R_{1}^{2m-1}) R_{1}^{2}} \right] \left( \frac{R_{1}}{l} \right)^{2m+1} \Theta_{m} - \\
- \left[ 2 m (m-1) (\lambda + \mu) + (2 m+1) (3 \lambda + 2 \mu) - \right. \\
- \left. \left. - (\lambda + \mu) \frac{(m+1) (m+2) (2 m+3) R_{1}^{2m+1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}} \right] \Theta_{-(m+1)}, \\
\Delta = (2 m+1)^{2} (3 \lambda + 2 \mu) (\lambda + 2 \mu) + \\
+ m (m-1) (m+1) (m+2) (\lambda + \mu)^{2} \left[ 4 - \frac{(2m-1) (2m+3) (R_{1} R_{2})^{2m-1} (R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})^{2}}{R_{2}^{2m-3} - R_{1}^{2m-3}} \right] \right]$$
(64)

where

Just as in the preceding case, it is shown that  $\Delta$  differs from zero for any whole and positive value of m since  $3\lambda + 2\mu > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ . It is clearly sufficient to restrict ourselves to demonstrating the fact that expression

$$4 - \frac{(2m-1)(2m+3)(R_1R_2)^{2m-1}(R_2^2-R_1^2)^2}{(R_2^{2m+3}-R_1^{2m+3})(R_2^{2m-1}-R_1^{2m-1})}$$

possesses this property

When  $X_m$  and  $X_{-(m+1)}$  are thus determined,  $\theta$  is found from the first of expressions (56).

To find  $\overline{\omega}_1$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_2$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_3$ , expressions (53") are substituted into the last relationships (55). Bearing in mind relationship  $\frac{\partial \overline{\omega}_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \overline{\omega}_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \overline{\omega}_3}{\partial z} = 0$ , we meanwhile find

/61

$$2 \mu l \frac{\partial \pi_{1}}{\partial l} = \frac{\partial \mathfrak{N}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}}{\partial z} + \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left\{ \lambda \theta + (\lambda + \mu) \left( l \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l} + \theta \right) - \left( (\lambda + \mu) \frac{\mathcal{N}}{1} \frac{1}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left( \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right)^{2m+1} \left[ \frac{(m+2)(2m+3)(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})l^{2m+1}}{R_{2}^{2m+3} - R_{1}^{2m+3}} + 2m^{2} - m - 4 \right] (R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{m}) + (\lambda + \mu) \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ \frac{(m-1)(2m-1)(R_{1}R_{1})l^{2m-1}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{(R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m-1})l^{2m+1}} + -2m^{2} - 5m + 1 \right] (R_{2}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) \right\}.$$

The other two formulas relative to  $\overline{\omega}_2$  and  $\overline{\omega}_3$  are deduced from the written one

by, as usual, having been expanded by cyclic permutation of v,  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Hence we divide by l, integrate, and note that the arbitrary function introduced by integration reduces to a constant, since it must be harmonic and regular in S and independent of l. Because

$$-\frac{1}{R_1}\int_{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial z}\right) dz + \frac{1}{R_2}\int_{\sigma_2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial z}\right) dz = \int_{\sigma} (z M - y N) dz = 0$$
(\*),

and the terms of zero degree in  $\overline{\omega}_1$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_2$ ,  $\overline{\omega}_3$ , are zero, a harmonic and regular

\* It is therefore sufficient to note that
$$\int_{\sigma} (z M - y N) d\sigma = \int_{\sigma} (\Re \cos n z - \Re \cos n y) d\sigma = \\
= -\int_{S} \left( \frac{\partial \Re}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \Re}{\partial y} \right) dS = -\sum_{i} \int_{S} \left( \frac{\partial \Re_{i}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \Re_{i}}{\partial y} \right) dS$$

and to perform the integration with respect to  $\rho$ , bearing in mind that, by virtue of the reciprocity theorem of the spherical functions, one term alone differs from zero.

function exists, with at least one arbitrary constant, in S of form

We may write

$$\int \frac{dl}{l} \left( \frac{\partial \mathfrak{R}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}}{\partial z} \right) (^{*}) \cdot dt = \int \frac{dl}{l} \left( \frac{\partial \mathfrak{R}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{M}}{\partial z} \right) + \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left( (2\lambda + \mu) \int \frac{dl}{l} \theta + (\lambda + \mu) \theta - (\lambda + \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) + \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left( (2\lambda + \mu) \int \frac{dl}{l} \theta + (\lambda + \mu) \theta - (\lambda + \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) + \left( (2m + 3) (R_{z}^{2} - R_{z}^{2}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2m + 4) (R_{z}^{2m+1} - R_{z}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1} \right) + \left( (2$$

/62

indicating by  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ ,  $h_3$  three arbitrary constants. Let us also note that in the preceding formulas we may assume the terms of zero degree in  $\theta$  to be zero, since  $\theta$  in expression (65) appears only under derivative signs. We may thus consider that  $\int \frac{\mathrm{d} l}{l} \theta$  also represents a very definite function which is harmonic and regular in S.

The values of u, v, w still remain to be determined. We may therefore begin by noting that expressions (53') may be written:

$$l\frac{\partial u}{\partial l} = \frac{\ell}{2\mu} - \frac{\lambda}{2\nu} \cdot 6x - \varpi_{3} y + \varpi_{2} z - \frac{\lambda + \nu}{2\mu} x \left(l\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial l} + \theta\right) + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\nu} x X_{0} + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\nu} x \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)(2m+1)}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} (R_{2}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \nu}{2\nu} x \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{2m+1}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left\{ -2 + \right. \\ + \frac{(m+2)[(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) + l^{2m+3}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})]}{(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1})} \left\{ \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right\}^{2m+1} (R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\nu} \sum_{2}^{\infty} \frac{m-1}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ -l^{2} + \right. \\ + \frac{l^{2m+1}(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) - l^{2}(R_{1}R_{2})^{2m-1}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1})} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (R_{2}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\nu} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{m+2}{l^{2m+1}} \frac{m+2}{R_{2}^{2m+1}} \left[ l^{2} - \frac{(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) + l^{2m+3}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ l^{2} - \frac{(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) + l^{2m+3}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ l^{2} - \frac{(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) + l^{2m+3}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\nu} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{m+2}{l^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ l^{2} - \frac{(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) + l^{2m+3}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{\partial x} (R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) + \\ + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2\nu} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{m+2}{l^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ l^{2} - \frac{(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) + l^{2m+3}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2m+1}}{\partial x} \right]$$

<sup>\*</sup> In the contrary case, as results when we consider the expansion of any function harmonic in S into a series of spherical functions, integration introduces a term of form  $\int \frac{dl}{l} = \log l$  which is not harmonic.

from which by dividing by l and integrating, we derive

$$2 \mu u = \int \frac{dl}{l} \, \mathcal{E} - \lambda \frac{x}{l} \int \theta \, dl - 2 \mu \left( \frac{y}{l} \int \varpi_{3} \, dl - \frac{z}{l} \int \varpi_{2} \, dl \right) - \\ - (\lambda + \mu) x \, \theta + (\lambda + \mu) x \, X_{0} + \\ + (\lambda + \mu) x \, \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{2m+1}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left( R_{2}^{2m+1} X_{m} - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} \right) + \\ + (\lambda + \mu) x \, \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{2m+1}{R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ \frac{2}{m} + \\ + \frac{(m+2)l^{2m+3}(R_{1}^{2} - R_{1}^{2}) - (m+1)(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1})}{(m+1)(R_{2}^{2m+2} - R_{1}^{2m+3})l^{2}} \right] \left( \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right)^{2m+1} (R_{1}^{2m+1} X_{m} - \\ - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} + (\lambda + \mu) \sum_{2}^{\infty} \frac{m-1}{R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ -\frac{l^{2}}{m+1} + \\ + \frac{ml^{2m+4}(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) + (m-1)l^{2}(R_{1}R_{2})^{2m-1}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{m(m-1)(R_{2}^{2m-1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) l^{2m+1}} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (R_{2}^{2m+1} X_{m} - \\ - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)}) + (\lambda + \mu) \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{m+2}{R_{1}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}} \left[ -\frac{l^{2}}{m} + \\ + \frac{(m+1)(R_{1}R_{2})^{2}(R_{2}^{2m+1} - R_{1}^{2m+1}) - (m+2)l^{2m+2}(R_{2}^{2} - R_{1}^{2})}{(m+1)(m+2)(R_{2}^{2m+2} - R_{1}^{2m+3})} \right] \left[ \frac{R_{2}}{l} \right]^{2m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (R_{1}^{2m+4} X_{m} - \\ - l^{2m+1} X_{-(m+1)} + k_{1},$$

Let us now make the following observations concerning these formulas. Since

$$-\frac{1}{R_1}\int_{\sigma_1}^{\rho}d\sigma+\frac{1}{R_2}\int_{\sigma_2}^{\rho}d\sigma=\int_{\sigma}^{\sigma}Ld\sigma=0$$

we may consider that  $\int \frac{\mathrm{d} l}{l}$  ? represents a function which is harmonic and regular in S and very determinate. Since the terms of degree -1 in  $\theta$ ;  $\bar{\omega}_1$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_2$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_3$  are zero, the expressions  $\frac{1}{l} \int \theta \mathrm{d} l$ ;  $\frac{1}{l} \int \bar{\omega}_1 \, \mathrm{d} l$ , ... will represent harmonic functions as above;  $\bar{\omega}_1$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_2$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_3$  contain the arbitrary constants  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ ,  $h_3$ . Finally,  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ , as biharmonic functions regular in S and independent of l, are arbitrary constants.

6. Cases in Which Other Conditions Are Given on the Limiting Spheres. Taking due advantage of formulas (48) and (66), we will be able, without great difficulty, to solve the problems in which the components of the displacements along one of the coordinate axes and the stress components along the other two coordinate axes, or the displacement components along two coordinate axes and the stress components along the other coordinate axis, are given on the two spherical surfaces. It would therefore help us to bear in mind our procedure in report I to solve similar problems for the full sphere.

Based on procedures similar to the preceding ones, all those problems in

<del>-----</del>

which there are different conditions — and conditions similar to those to which we have already alluded — for the case of the body limited by two parallel planes, are given on the two spherical surfaces. We would then have to make use of the harmonic function which assumes given values on a spherical surface, while on the other spherical surface the normal derivative assumes assigned values.\*

Finally, let us also allude to the problems in which the normal displacements and tangential stresses — or vice versa, the normal stresses and the tangential displacements — are given on two spherical surfaces. Let us also refer to the problems in which the normal displacements and tangential stresses are given on one spherical surface, and on the other — the normal stresses and the tangential displacements. These problems which may be solved by bearing in mind the procedure which we followed to treat similar problems for the entire sphere in the last subsection of another publication (Memoria del Circolo matematicale di Palermo, Vol. 17, 1903).

Scientific Translation Service 4849 Tocaloma Lane La Canada, California NASw -1496

<sup>\*</sup> Or, even more simply, we could continue to start with expressions (48) and follow the general method advanced by Thomson, which consists of methodically applying the obvious observation that any biharmonic function regular in S is the sum of a harmonic function which on the surface assumes the same values of the biharmonic function and of a biharmonic function which becomes zero on the surface. If, for example, the values of displacements on  $\sigma_1$  and of stresses on  $\sigma_2$  are given, the values of  $U_m$ ,  $V_m$ ,  $V_m$  are determined only in part. They will be completely determined by subjecting the biharmonic functions  $\lambda\theta x + 2\mu(2\frac{\partial U}{\partial 2} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial 2})$ , ... to the assumption of given values on  $\sigma_2$ . To satisfy these conditions, the preceding biharmonic functions will be formulated, and the terms will be neglected which become zero on the surface in order to have a harmonic function. The problem will then be simplified and easily solved.